arXiv:1606.04967v1 [math.GT] 15 Jun 2016

Orbifold points on Teichmüller curves and Jacobians with complex multiplication

Ronen E. Mukamel*

August 28, 2013

Abstract

For each integer $D \geq 5$ with $D \equiv 0$ or $1 \bmod 4$, the Weierstrass curve W_D is an algebraic curve and a finite volume hyperbolic orbifold which admits an algebraic and isometric immersion into the moduli space of genus two Riemann surfaces. The Weierstrass curves are the main examples of Teichmüller curves in genus two. The primary goal of this paper is to determine the number and type of orbifold points on each component of W_D. Our enumeration of the orbifold points, together with [Ba] and [Mc2], completes the determination of the homeomorphism type of W_D and gives a formula for the genus of its components. We use our formula to give bounds on the genus of W_D and determine the Weierstrass curves of genus zero. We will also give several explicit descriptions of each surface labeled by an orbifold point on W_D.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}_g$ be the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces. The space $\mathcal{M}_g$ can be viewed as both a complex orbifold and an algebraic variety and carries a complete Finsler Teichmüller metric. A *Teichmüller curve* is an algebraic and isometric immersion of a finite volume hyperbolic Riemann surface into moduli space:

$$f\colon\ C = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \to \mathcal{M}_g.$$

The modular curve $\mathbb{H}/\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{M}_1$ is the first example of a Teichmüller curve. Other examples emerge from the study of polygonal billiards [Ve, MT] and square-tiled surfaces. While the Teichmüller curves in $\mathcal{M}_2$ have been classified [Mc3], much less is known about Teichmüller curves in $\mathcal{M}_g$ for $g > 2$ [BaM, BM2].

The main source of Teichmüller curves in $\mathcal{M}_2$ are the Weierstrass curves. For each integer $D \geq 5$ with $D \equiv 0$ or $1 \bmod 4$, the *Weierstrass curve* W_D is the moduli space of Riemann

*The author is partially supported by award DMS-1103654 from the National Science Foundation.

surfaces whose Jacobians have real multiplication by the quadratic order $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{D+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right]$ stabilizing a holomorphic one form with double zero up to scale. The curve W_D is a finite volume hyperbolic orbifold and the natural immersion

$$W_D \to \mathcal{M}_2$$

is algebraic and isometric and has degree one onto its image [Ca, Mc1]. The curve W_D is a Teichmüller curve unless $D > 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ in which case $W_D = W_D^0 \sqcup W_D^1$ is a disjoint union of two Teichmüller curves distinguished by a spin invariant in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ [Mc2]. A major challenge is to describe W_D as an algebraic curve and as a hyperbolic orbifold. To date, this has been accomplished only for certain small D [BM1, Mc1, Lo].

The purpose of this paper is to study the orbifold points on W_D. Such points label surfaces with automorphisms commuting with $\mathcal{O}_D$. The first two Weierstrass curves W_5 and W_8 were studied by Veech [Ve] and are isomorphic to the $(2, 5, \infty)$- and $(4, \infty, \infty)$-orbifolds. The surfaces with automorphisms labeled by the three orbifold points are drawn in Figure 1.

Our primary goal is to give a formula for the number and type of orbifold points on W_D (Theorem 1.1). Together with [Mc2] and [Ba], our formula completes the determination of the homeomorphism type of W_D and gives a formula for the genus of W_D. We will use our formula to give bounds for the genera of W_D and W_D^ϵ (Corollary 1.3) and list the components of $\bigcup_D W_D$ of genus zero (Corollary 1.4). We will also give several explicit descriptions of the surfaces labeled by orbifold points on W_D (Theorems 1.8), giving the first examples of algebraic curves labeled by points of W_D for most D (Theorem 1.10).

Main results. Our main theorem determines the number and type of orbifold points on W_D:

Theorem 1.1. *For $D > 8$, the orbifold points on W_D all have order two, and the number of such points $e_2(W_D)$ is the weighted sum of class numbers of imaginary quadratic orders shown in Table 1.*

We also give a formula for the number of orbifold points on each spin component:

Theorem 1.2. *Fix $D \geq 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. If $D = f^2$ is a perfect square, then all of the orbifold points on W_D lie on the spin $(f+1)/2 \bmod 2$ component:*

$$e_2\left(W_D^{(f+1)/2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{h}(-4D) \text{ and } e_2\left(W_D^{(f-1)/2}\right) = 0.$$

Otherwise, $e_2(W_D^0) = e_2(W_D^1) = \frac{1}{4}\widetilde{h}(-4D)$.

When D is not a square and W_D is reducible, the spin components of W_D have algebraic models defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and are Galois conjugate [BM1]. Theorem 1.2 confirms that the spin components have the same number and type of orbifold points.

D mod 16	$e_2(W_D)$
$1, 5, 9,$ or 13	$\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{h}(-4D)$
0	$\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{h}(-D) + 2\widetilde{h}(-D/4))$
4	0
8	$\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{h}(-D)$
12	$\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{h}(-D) + 3\widetilde{h}(-D/4))$

Table 1: For $D > 8$, the number of orbifold points of order two on W_D is given by a weighted sum of class numbers. The function $\widetilde{h}(-D)$ is defined below.

The class number $h(-D)$ is the order of the ideal class group $H(-D)$ for $\mathcal{O}_{-D}$ and counts the number of elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{-D}$ up to isomorphism. The weighted class number

$$\widetilde{h}(-D) = 2h(-D)/\left|\mathcal{O}_{-D}^{\times}\right|$$

appearing in Table 1 is the number of elliptic curves with complex multiplication weighted by their orbifold order in $\mathcal{M}_1$. Note that $\widetilde{h}(-D) = h(-D)$ unless $D = 3$ or 4. The class number $h(-D)$ can be computed by enumerating integer points on a conic. We will give a similar method for computing $e_2(W_D)$ in Theorem 1.9. When D is odd, the orbifold points on W_D are labeled by elements of the group $H(-4D)/[P]$ where $[P]$ is the ideal class in $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$ representing the prime ideal with norm two.

The orbifold Euler characteristics of W_D and W_D^{ϵ} were computed in [Ba] and the cusps on W_D were enumerated and sorted by component in [Mc2]. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 complete the determination of the homeomorphism type of W_D and give a formula for the genera of W_D and its components.

Corollary 1.3. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there are constants C_ϵ and N_ϵ such that:*

$$C_\epsilon D^{3/2+\epsilon} > g(V) > D^{3/2}/650,$$

whenever V is a component of W_D and $D \geq N_\epsilon$.

Modular curves of genus zero play an important role in number theory [Ti]. We also determine the components of Weierstrass curves of genus zero.

Corollary 1.4. *The genus zero components of $\bigcup_D W_D$ are the 23 components of $\bigcup_{D\leq 41} W_D$ and the curves W_{49}^0, W_{49}^1 and W_{81}^1.*

We include a table listing the homeomorphism type of W_D for $D \leq 225$ in §B.

Orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces. Theorem 1.1 is closely related to the classification of orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces we prove in §3. The *Hilbert modular surface* X_D is the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian varieties with real



Figure 1: The first two Weierstrass curves W_5 and W_8 are isomorphic to the $(2, 5, \infty)$ and $(4, \infty, \infty)$-orbifolds. The point of orbifold order two is related to billiards on the L-shaped table (left) corresponding to the golden mean $\gamma = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. The points of orbifold order five (center) and four (right) are related to billiards on the regular pentagon and octagon.

multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$. The period map sending a Riemann surface to its Jacobian embeds W_D in X_D.

Central to the story of the orbifold points on X_D and W_D are the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ of genus two surfaces with actions of the dihedral groups of orders 8 and 12:

$$D_8 = \left\langle r, J : r^2 = (Jr)^2 = J^4 = 1 \right\rangle \text{ and } D_{12} = \left\langle r, Z : r^2 = (Zr)^2 = Z^6 = 1 \right\rangle.$$

The surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ (respectively $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$) whose Jacobians have complex multiplication have real multiplication commuting with J (respectively Z). The complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ give most of the orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$:

Theorem 1.5. *The orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$ which are not products of elliptic curves are the two points of order five on X_5 and the complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$.*

Since the Z-eigenforms on D_{12}-surfaces have simple zeros and the J-eigenforms on D_8-surfaces have double zeros (cf. Proposition 2.3), we have:

Corollary 1.6. *The orbifold points on $\bigcup_D W_D$ are the point of order five on W_5 and the complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$.*

Corollary 1.6 explains the appearance of class numbers in the formula for $e_2(W_D)$. As we will see §2, the involution r on a D_8-surface X has a genus one quotient E with a distinguished base point and point of order two and the family $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ is birational to the modular curve $Y_0(2)$. The Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ has complex multiplication by an order in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}, i)$ if and only if E has complex multiplication by an order in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$. The formula for $e_2(W_D)$ follows by sorting the $3\widetilde{h}(-D)$ surfaces with D_8-action covering elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{-D}$ by their orders for real multiplication.

The product locus P_D. A recurring theme in the study of the Weierstrass curves is the close relationship between W_D and the product locus $P_D \subset X_D$. The *product locus* P_D consists of products of elliptic curves with real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$ and is isomorphic to a disjoint union of modular curves.

The cusps on W_D were first enumerated and sorted by spin in [Mc2] and, for non-square D, are in bijection with the cusps on P_D. The Hilbert modular surface X_D has a meromorphic modular form with a simple pole along P_D and a simple zero along W_D. This modular form can be used to give a formula for the Euler characteristic of W_D and, for non-square D, the Euler characteristics of W_D, X_D and P_D satisfy ([Ba], Cor. 10.4):

$$\chi(W_D) = \chi(P_D) - 2\chi(X_D).$$

Our classification of the orbifold points on X_D and W_D in Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 show that all of the orbifold points of order two on X_D lie on W_D or P_D, giving:

Theorem 1.7. *For non-square D, the homeomorphism type of W_D is determined by the homeomorphism types of X_D and P_D and D* mod 8.

The D_8-family. A secondary goal of our analysis is to give several explicit descriptions of D_8-surfaces and to characterize those with complex multiplication. We now outline the facts about $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ which we prove in Section 2; we will outline a similar discussion for $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ in §A. For a genus two surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$, the following are equivalent:

1. *Automorphisms.* The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ admits an injective homomorphism $\rho\colon\ D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$.

2. *Algebraic curves.* The field of meromorphic functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is isomorphic to:

$$K_a = \mathbb{C}(z, x) \text{ with } z^2 = (x^2 - 1)(x^4 - ax^2 + 1),$$

   for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$.

3. *Jacobians.* There is a number $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ such that the Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ is isomorphic to the principally polarized Abelian variety:

$$A_\tau = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda_\tau,$$

   where $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}\left\langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\tau\\ \tau+1\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\tau\\ -\tau-1\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\tau+1\\ \tau\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\tau+1\\ -\tau\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right\rangle$ and A_τ is polarized by the symplectic form $\left\langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix}a\\ b\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}c\\ d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right\rangle = \frac{-\mathrm{Im}(a\bar{c}+b\bar{d})}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(\tau)}$.

4. *Pinwheels.* The surface X is isomorphic to the surface X_τ obtained from the polygonal *pinwheel* P_τ (Figure 2) for some τ in the domain:

$$U = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{H} : \tau \neq \frac{\pm 1 + i}{2}, |\tau|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |\mathrm{Re}\,\tau| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$



Figure 2: For τ in the shaded domain U, the pinwheel P_τ is the polygon with vertices at $z = \frac{1 \pm i}{2}$, $\frac{-1 \pm i}{2}$, $\pm\tau$, and $\pm i\tau$. Gluing together opposite sides on P_τ by translation gives a genus two surface admitting an action of D_8. The one form ω_τ induced by dz is a J-eigenform and has a double zero.

It is straightforward to identify the action of D_8 in most of the descriptions above. The field K_a has automorphisms $r(z,x) = (z,-x)$ and $J(z,x) = (iz/x^3, 1/x)$. Multiplication by the matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ preserve the polarized lattice Λ_τ giving automorphisms r and J of A_τ. The surface X_τ obtained from P_τ has an obvious order four automorphism J_τ and a genus two surface with an order four automorphism automatically admits a faithful action of D_8 (cf. Proposition 2.2).

The function relating the number τ determining the polygon P_τ and Abelian variety A_τ to the number a determining the field K_a is the modular function:

$$a(\tau) = -2 + \frac{1}{\lambda(\tau)\lambda(\tau+1)}.$$

The function $\lambda(\tau)$ is the function modular for $\Gamma(2) = \ker(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$ which covers the isomorphism $\lambda\colon\ \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$ sending the cusps $\Gamma(2)\cdot 0$, $\Gamma(2)\cdot 1$ and $\Gamma\cdot\infty$ to 0, 1 and ∞ respectively. In Sections 2 and 3 we will prove:

Theorem 1.8. *Fix $\tau \in U$. The surface X_τ obtained from the polygon P_τ admits a faithful D_8-action and satisfies:*

$$\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau) \cong A_\tau \text{ and } \mathbb{C}(X_\tau) \cong K_{a(\tau)}.$$

The Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau)$ has complex multiplication if and only if τ is imaginary quadratic.

Enumerating orbifold points on W_D. In addition to the formula in terms of class numbers for $e_2(W_D)$ appearing in Theorem 1.1, in Section 4 we give a simple method for enumerating the orbifold points on W_D. We define a finite set of *proper pinwheel prototypes* $E(D)$ consisting of triples of integers (e,c,b) satisfying $D = -e^2 + 2bc$ along with certain additional conditions (cf. Equation 4.1) and show:

Theorem 1.9. *Fix a discriminant $D \geq 5$. For any $(e,c,b) \in E(D)$, the surface:*

$$X_\tau \text{ with } \tau = (e+\sqrt{-D})/2c$$

is labeled by an orbifold point on W_D. For discriminants $D > 8$, the set $E(D)$ is in bijection with the points of orbifold order two on W_D.

Since the field automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ permute the set of D_8-surfaces with real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$ and the modular function $a\colon\ Y_0(2) \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the following is a corollary of Theorem 1.9:

Theorem 1.10. *For $D \geq 5$, the polynomial:*

$$f_D(t) = \prod_{(e,c,b)\in E(D)} \left(t - a\left(\frac{e+\sqrt{-D}}{2c}\right)\right)\left(t - a\left(\frac{-e+\sqrt{-D}}{2b}\right)\right),$$

has rational coefficients. If a is a root of $f_D(t)$, then the algebraic curve with $\mathbb{C}(X) \cong K_a$ is labeled by an orbifold point on W_D.

For example, when $D = 76$ we have:

$$E(76) = \{(-2, 2, 20), (-2, 4, 10), (2, 4, 10)\},$$

and the orbifold points on W_{76} label the surfaces $X_{(-1+\sqrt{-19})/2}$, $X_{(-1+\sqrt{-19})/4}$ and $X_{(1+\sqrt{-19})/4}$. Setting $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and using the q-expansion:

$$a(\tau) = -2 - 256q - 6144q^2 - 76800q^3 - 671744q^4 + \dots$$

we can approximate the coefficients for $f_{76}(t)$ to high precision to show that:

$$f_{76}(t) = t^3 + 3t^2 + 3459t + 6913.$$

Table 5 in Appendix C lists the polynomials $f_D(t)$ for $D \leq 56$ computed by similar means.

Outline. We conclude this Introduction with an outline of the proofs of our main results.

1. In §2, we define and study the family $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ parametrizes pairs (X, ρ) where $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\rho : D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is injective. There, our main goal is to prove the precise relationship between the surface X_τ, the field $K_{a(\tau)}$ and the Abelian variety A_τ stated in Theorem 1.8. We do so by showing, for $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$, the quotient $X/\rho(r)$ has genus one, a distinguished base point and a distinguished point of order two (Proposition 2.5), giving rise to a holomorphic map $g\colon\ \mathcal{M}_2(D_8) \to Y_0(2)$. We then compute $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ in terms of $g(X, \rho)$ and show that the surface X_τ admits a D_8-action ρ_τ with $g(X_\tau, \rho_\tau)$ equal to the genus one surface $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ with distinguished base point $Z_\tau = 0 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and point of order two $T_\tau = 1/2 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$.

2. In §3, we define and study the Hilbert modular surface X_D and its orbifold points. Orbifold points on X_D correspond to Abelian varieties with automorphisms commuting with real multiplication. It is well known that there are only a few possibilities for the automorphism group of a genus two surface (cf. Table 2 in §2), that the automorphism group of $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ equals the automorphism group $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ and that every principally polarized Abelian surface is either a product of elliptic curves or a Jacobian. Our classification of orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$ in Theorem 1.5 is obtained by analyzing these possibilities.

3. In §4, we turn to the Weierstrass curve W_D. Our classification of the orbifold points on W_D in Corollary 1.6 follows by analyzing which automorphism groups of genus two surfaces contain automorphisms which fix a Weierstrass point.

4. We then prove the formula in Theorem 1.1 by sorting the D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication by their orders for real multiplication commuting with J. To do so, we embed the endomorphism ring of $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ in the rational endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}(X/\rho(r) \times X/\rho(r)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, allowing us to relate the order for real multiplication on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ to the order for complex multiplication on $X/\rho(r)$.

5. We conclude §4 by giving a simple method for enumerating the $\tau \in U$ for which X_τ is labeled by an orbifold point on W_D. For $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, we choose integers e, k, and c so $\tau = (e + k\sqrt{-D})/(2c)$. There is a rational endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ commuting the order four automorphism J_τ and generated real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$. By writing down how T acts on $H_1(X_\tau, \mathbb{Q})$, we determine the conditions on e, k, and c which ensure that T preserves the lattice $H_1(X_\tau, \mathbb{Z})$.

6. In §5, we sort the orbifold points on W_D by spin component when $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$. For such discriminants, the orbifold points on W_D are labeled by elements of the ideal class group $H(-4D)$. We define a spin homomorphism:

$$\epsilon_0 \colon\ H(-4D) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

which is the zero map if and only if D is a perfect square. We then relate the spin invariant of the orbifold point corresponding to the ideal class $[I]$ to the value $\epsilon_0([I])$ to give the formula in Theorem 1.2.

7. Finally, in §6, we collect the various formulas for topological invariants of W_D and bound them to give bounds on the genus of W_D and its components.

Open problems. While the homeomorphism type of W_D is now understood, describing the components of W_D as Riemann surfaces remains a challenge.

Problem 1. *Describe W_D as a hyperbolic orbifold and as an algebraic curve.*

Our analysis of the orbifold points on W_D have given explicit descriptions of some complex multiplication points on W_D. By the André-Oort conjecture [KY], there are only finitely many complex multiplication points on W_D and it would be interesting to find them.

Problem 2. *Describe the complex multiplication points on W_D.*

The complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ lie on Teichmüller curves and the complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ lie on complex geodesics in $\mathcal{M}_2$ with infinite fundamental group. It would be interesting to find other examples of Shimura varieties whose complex multiplication points lie on interesting complex geodesics.

Problem 3. *Find other Shimura varieties whose complex multiplication lie on Teichmüller curves.*

The divisors supported at cusps on modular curves generate a finite subgroup of the associated Jacobian [Ma]. It would be interesting to know if the same is true for Teichmüller curves. The first Weierstrass curve with genus one is W_{44}.

Problem 4. *Compute the subgroup of* $\mathrm{Jac}(W_{44})$ *generated by divisors supported at the cusps and points of order two.*

Algebraic geometers and number theorists have been interested in exhibiting explicit examples of algebraic curves whose Jacobians have endomorphisms. A parallel goal is to exhibit Riemann surfaces whose Jacobians have endomorphisms as polygons in the plane glued together by translations as we did for the complex multiplication points on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$.

Problem 5. *Exhibit surfaces whose Jacobians have complex multiplication as polygons in* $\mathbb{C}$ *glued together by translation.*

There are very few Teichmüller curves $C \to \mathcal{M}_g$ whose images under the period mapping sending a surface to its Jacobian parametrize Shimura curves and they are classified in [Mo]. The families $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ are examples of Teichmüller curves whose images under the period mapping are dense in Shimura curves. Jacobians of D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces are dense in Shimura curves because they are characterized by their endomorphism ring. The family $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$) is a Teichmüller curves because the map $X \to X/\rho(J)$ (resp. $X \to X/\rho(Z)$) is branched over exactly four points. It would be interesting to have a classification of such curves.

Problem 6. *Classify the Teichmüller curves whose images under the period mapping are dense in Shimura curves.*

Notes and references. For a survey of results related to the Teichmüller geodesic flow, Teichmüller curves and relations to billiards see [KMS, MT, KZ, Zo]. Background about Abelian varieties, Hilbert modular surfaces and Shimura varieties can be found in [vdG], [Sh2], [BL], and [Sh1]. The orbifold points on X_D are studied in [Pr] and the family $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ has been studied in various settings, e.g. [Si1].

Acknowledgments The author would thank C. McMullen for many helpful conversations throughout this project as well as A. Preygel and V. Gadre for several useful conversations. The author was partially supported in part by the National Science Foundation as a post-doctoral fellow.

2 The D_8-family

In this section we define and study the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ parametrizing pairs (X, ρ) where $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\rho : D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is injective. In Section 1, we defined a domain U, a polygon P_τ and a surface X_τ with order four automorphism J_τ for each $\tau \in U$, a field K_a for $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$, an Abelian variety A_τ for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and a modular function $a : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$. Our main goal for this section is to prove the following proposition, establishing the claims in Theorem 1.8 relating these different descriptions of D_8-surfaces:

Proposition 2.1. *Fix* $\tau \in U$. *There is an injective homomorphism* $\rho : D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_\tau)$ *with* $\rho(J) = J_\tau$ *and* X_τ *satisfies:*

$$\mathbb{C}(X_\tau) \cong K_{a(\tau)} \text{ and } \mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau) \cong A_\tau.$$

For any $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$, there is a $\tau \in U$ so that there is an isomorphism $X \to X_\tau$ intertwining $\rho(J)$ and J_τ.

We prove Proposition 2.1 by studying the quotients $E_\rho = X/\rho(r)$ as (X, ρ) ranges in $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. We show that E_ρ has genus one, a distinguished base point Z_ρ and point of order two T_ρ (cf. Proposition 2.5), allowing us to define a holomorphic map $g : \mathcal{M}_2(D_8) \to Y_0(2)$ by $g(X, \rho) = (E_\rho, Z_\rho, T_\rho)$. We compute $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ in terms of $g(X, \rho)$ (Propositions 2.11 and 2.13) and then show that X_τ admits a D_8-action ρ_τ with $g(X_\tau, \rho_\tau) = (\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z}, 0+\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z}, 1/2+\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z})$ (Proposition 2.14).

Surfaces with automorphisms. Let G be a finite group. We define the *moduli space of G-surfaces of genus g* to be the space:

$$\mathcal{M}_g(G) = \{(X, \rho) : X \in \mathcal{M}_g \text{ and } \rho\colon\ G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X) \text{ is injective.}\} / \sim .$$

We will call two G-surfaces (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2) equivalent and write $(X_1, \rho_1) \sim (X_2, \rho_2)$ if there is an isomorphism $f\colon\ X_1 \to X_2$ satisfying $\rho_1(x) = f^{-1} \circ \rho_2(x) \circ f$ for each $x \in G$. The set $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ has a natural topology and a unique holomorphic structure so that the natural map $\mathcal{M}_g(G) \to \mathcal{M}_g$ is holomorphic.

Group homomorphisms and automorphisms. Any injective group homomorphism $h\colon\ G_1 \to G_2$ gives rise to a holomorphic map $\mathcal{M}_g(G_2) \to \mathcal{M}_g(G_1)$. In particular, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$. The inner automorphisms of G fix every point on $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ so the $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$-action factors through the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(G)/\mathrm{Inn}(G)$. Note that $\mathrm{Out}(D_8)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with the automorphism $\sigma(J) = J$ and $\sigma(r) = Jr$ representing the non-trivial outer automorphism.

Hyperelliptic involution and Weierstrass points. Now let X be a genus two Riemann surface and $\Omega(X)$ be the space of holomorphic one forms on X. The canonical map $X \to \mathbb{P}\Omega(X)^*$ is a degree two branched cover of the sphere branched over six points. The *hyperelliptic involution* η on X is the Deck transformation of the canonical map and the Weierstrass points X^W are the points fixed by η. Any holomorphic one form $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ has either two simple zeros at points P and $Q \in X$ with $P = \eta(Q)$ or has a double zero at a point $P \in X^W$.

Automorphisms and permutations of X^W. Since it is canonically defined, η is in the center of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ induces an automorphism ϕ^η of the sphere X/η and restricts to a permutation $\phi|_{X^W}$ of X^W. The conjugacy classes in the permutation group $\mathrm{Sym}(X^W)$ are naturally labeled by partitions of six corresponding to orbit sizes in X^W, and we will write $[n_1, \ldots, n_k]$ for the conjugacy class corresponding to the partition $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = 6$. We will denote the conjugacy class of $\phi|_{X^W}$ in the permutation of group of X^W by $[\phi|_{X^W}]$.

In Table 2, we list the possibilities for $[\phi|_{X^W}]$ and, for each possibility, we determine the possibilities for the order of ϕ, the number of points in X fixed by ϕ and give the possible algebraic models for the pair (X, ϕ). The claims are elementary to prove and well-known (cf. [BL] §11.7 or [Bo]). Most can be proved by choosing an appropriately scaled coordinate $x\colon X/\eta \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ so the action ϕ^η fixes $x^{-1}(0)$ and $x^{-1}(\infty)$. From Table 2, we can show:

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ has an order four automorphism ϕ. The conjugacy class of $\phi|_{X^W}$ is $[1,1,2,2]$, the eigenforms for ϕ have double zeros, $\phi^2 = \eta$ and there is an injective group homomorphism $\rho\colon D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ with $\rho(J) = \phi$.*

Proof. From Table 2, we see that only ϕ with $[\phi|_{X^W}] = [1,1,2,2]$ have order four and that, for such automorphisms, there is a number $t \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(x,y)$ with:

$$y^2 = x(x^4 - tx^2 + 1),$$

and $\phi(x,y) = (-x, iy)$. From this algebraic model we see that $\phi^2(x,y) = (x,-y)$ (i.e. $\phi^2 = \eta$), the eigenforms for ϕ are the forms $\frac{dx}{y}\mathbb{C}^*$ and $x\frac{dx}{y}\mathbb{C}^*$ which have double zeros, and that there is an injective group homomorphism $\rho\colon D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ satisfying $\rho(J) = \phi$ and $\rho(r)(x,y) = (1/x, y/x^3)$. □

From Table 2, it also follows easily that:

Proposition 2.3. *For any $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$, the $\rho(J)$-eigenforms have double zeros. For any $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$, the $\rho(Z)$-eigenforms have simple zeros.*

Proof. For $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$, the automorphism $\rho(J)$ has order four so, by Proposition 2.2, has eigenforms with double zeros. Also from Table 2 we see that, for $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$, $[\rho(Z)|_{X^W}] = [3,3]$ so $\rho(Z)$ fixes no Weierstrass point and has eigenforms with simple zeros. □

Algebraic models for D_8-surfaces. In Section 1 we defined a field K_a for each $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$ with an explicit action of D_8 on K_a by field automorphisms. Let Y_a denote the genus two surface satisfying $\mathbb{C}(Y_a) \cong K_a$ and let $\rho_a\colon D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(Y_a)$ be the corresponding action of D_8. We will eventually show that the map $f\colon \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\} \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ given by $f(a) = (Y_a, \rho_a)$ is an isomorphism. To start we will show f is onto:

Proposition 2.4. *The map $a \mapsto (Y_a, \rho_a)$ defines a surjective holomorphic map:*

$$f\colon \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\} \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8).$$

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)) = 1$ *and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ has one irreducible component.*

Proof. Fix $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. From Table 2 and Proposition 2.2, we have that $[\rho(r)|_{X^W}] = [2,2,2]$, $[\rho(J)|_{X^W}] = [1,1,2,2]$, $\rho(J)^2 = \eta$ and $\mathrm{Fix}(r)$ is a single η-orbit consisting of two points. These observations allow us to choose an isomorphism $x\colon X/\eta \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ so that: (1)

$[\phi\|_{X^W}]$	Algebraic model for (X,ϕ)	Order of ϕ	Fix(ϕ)
$[1,1,1,$ $1,1,1]$	$y^2=x(x-1)(x-t_1)(x-t_2)(x-t_3)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(x,y)$ or $(x,-y)$.	1 or 2	X or X^W
$[2,2,2]$	$y^2=(x^2-1)(x^2-t_1)(x^2-t_2)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(-x,y)$.	2	$x^{-1}(0)$
$[1,1,2,2]$	$y^2=x(x^4-t_1x^2+1)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(-x,iy)$.	4	$x^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$
$[1,1,4]$	$y^2=x(x^4+1)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(ix,(1+i)y/\sqrt{2})$.	8	$x^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$
$[2,4]$	$y^2=x(x^4+1)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(i/x,(1+i)y/\sqrt{2}x^3)$.	8	$x^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$
$[3,3]$	$y^2=(x^3-t_1^3)(x^3-t_1^{-3})$ and $\phi(x,y)=(e^{2\pi i/3}x,y)$ or $(e^{2\pi i/3}x,-y)$.	3 or 6	$x^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$
$[1,5]$	$y^2=(x^5+1)$ and $\phi(x,y)=(e^{2\pi i/5}x,y)$ or $(e^{2\pi i/5}x,-y)$.	5 or 10	$x^{-1}(0)$ or $x^{-1}(\infty)$
$[6]$	$y^2=x^6+1$ and $\phi(x,y)=(e^{2\pi i/6}x,y)$.	6	$x^{-1}(0)$

Table 2: An automorphism ϕ of a genus two surface X restricts to a bijection $\phi|_{X^W}\in \mathrm{Sym}(X^W)$. When $\phi|_{X^W}$ is in one of the conjugacy classes above, the pair (X,ϕ) has an algebraic model $\mathbb{C}(X)\cong\mathbb{C}(x,y)$ with x, y and ϕ satisfying the equations above for an appropriate choice of parameters $t_i\in\mathbb{C}$. The omitted conjugacy classes do not occur as restrictions of automorphisms since the ϕ induces automorphism of the sphere X/η.

$\rho(r)(x) = -x$, (2) $x(\mathrm{Fix}(\rho(r))) = 0$ and (3) $x(P) = 1$ for some $P \in \mathrm{Fix}(\rho(J))$. Since $\rho(JrJ^{-1}) = \eta\rho(r)$, J permutes the points in X/η fixed by $\rho(r)^\eta$, i.e. $x^{-1}(\{0,\infty\})$, and must satisfy $\rho(J)(x) = 1/x$. If Q is any point in X^W not fixed by $\rho(J)$ and $t = x(Q)$, then:

$$x(X^W) = \{1, -1, t, -t, 1/t, -1/t\}\,.$$

The field $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(x,y)$ where:

$$y^2 = (x^2-1)(x^4 - ax^2 + 1) \text{ and } a = t^2 + 1/t^2.$$

Note that $a \notin \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\pm 2\}$ since the discriminant of $(x^2-1)(x^4-ax^2+1)$ is nonzer. By conditions (1) and (2) on the coordinate x, we have $\rho(r)(x,y) = (-x,y)$. Since $\rho(J)(x) = 1/x$, we have $\rho(J)(x,y) = (1/x, iy/x^3)$ or $(1/x, -iy/x^3)$. In the first case, the obvious isomorphism between X and Y_a intertwines ρ and ρ_a. In the second case, the composition of $\rho(r)$ with the obvious isomorphism between X and Y_a intertwines ρ and ρ_a. In either case, (X,ρ) is in the image of f. □

Genus one surfaces with distinguished points of order two. We now show that the quotient $(X/\rho(r))$ for any D_8-surface (X,ρ) has genus one, a distinguished base point and point of order two.

Proposition 2.5. *For $(X,\rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ we define:*

$$E_\rho = X/\rho(r), \text{ and } Z_\rho = \mathrm{Fix}(\eta\rho(r))/\rho(r), T_\rho = \mathrm{Fix}(\rho(J))/\rho(r) \in E_\rho. \tag{2.1}$$

The quotient E_ρ has genus one and, under the group law on E_ρ with identity element Z_ρ, the point T_ρ is torsion of order two.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, it is enough to establish the claims when (X,ρ) is equivalent to (Y_a,ρ_a). From the equations defining (Y_a,ρ_a) we see that $(E_{\rho_a}, Z_{\rho_a}, T_{\rho_a})$ satisfies:

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbb{C}(E_{\rho_a}) = \mathbb{C}(y, w = x^2) \text{ with } y^2 = (w-1)(w^2 - aw + 1),\\ Z_{\rho_a} = w^{-1}(\infty) \text{ and } T_{\rho_a} = w^{-1}(1).\end{gathered} \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, E_{ρ_a} has genus one. The function $(w-1)$ vanishes to order two at T_{ρ_a} and has a pole of order two at Z_{ρ_a}, giving that $2T_{\rho_a} = Z_{\rho_a}$ in the group law on E_{ρ_a} with base point Z_{ρ_a}. □

Genus one Riemann surfaces with distinguished base point and point of order two are parametrized by the modular curve:

$$Y_0(2) = \{(E,Z,T) : E \in \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } Z, P \in E \text{ satisfies } 2\cdot P = 2\cdot Z\}\,/\sim .$$

Two pairs (E_1,Z_1,T_1) and (E_2,Z_2,T_2) are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $E_1 \to E_2$ sending Z_1 to Z_2 and T_1 to T_2. The modular curve can be presented as a complex orbifold as follows. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}, Z_\tau = 0 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } T_\tau = 1/2 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

The triples $(E_{\tau_1}, Z_{\tau_1}, T_{\tau_1})$ and $(E_{\tau_2}, Z_{\tau_2}, T_{\tau_2})$ are equivalent if and only if τ_1 and τ_2 are related by a Möbius transformation in the group

$$\Gamma_0(2) = \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \bmod 2\}.$$

The map $\mathbb{H} \to Y_0(2)$ given by $\tau \mapsto (E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$ descends to a bijection on $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(2)$, presenting $Y_0(2)$ as a complex and hyperbolic orbifold.

A fundamental domain for $\Gamma_0(2)$ is the convex hull of $\{0, (-1+i)/2, (1+i)/2, \infty\}$ and $Y_0(2)$ is isomorphic to the $(2, \infty, \infty)$-orbifold. The point of orbifold order two on $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(2)$ is $(1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$ and corresponds to the square torus with the points fixed by an order four automorphism distinguished.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $g\colon \mathcal{M}_2(D_8) \to Y_0(2)$ be the map defined by:*

$$g(X, \rho) = (E_\rho, Z_\rho, T_\rho).$$

The composition $g \circ f\colon \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\} \to Y_0(2)$ extends to a biholomorphism on $h : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2\} \to Y_0(2)$ with $h(2) = (1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$.

Proof. In Equation 2.2, we gave an explicit model for $g(f(a))$. By elementary algebraic geometry, as a tends to 2, $g(f(a))$ tends the square torus with the points fixed by an order four automorphism distinguished allowing us to extend the composition $g \circ f$ to a holomorphic map $h : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2\} \to Y_0(2)$ with $h(2) = (1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$.

The coarse spaces associated to $Y_0(2)$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2\}$ are both biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. To show h is a biholomorphism, it suffices to show $\deg(h) = 1$. To do so, consider the function $j\colon Y_0(2) \to \mathbb{C}$ sending (E, Z, T) to the j-invariant of E. The function j has degree $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(2)] = 3$. From Equation 2.2, it is straightforward to show:

$$j \circ h(a) = 256(a+1)^3/(a+2).$$

Since $\deg(j \circ h) = \deg(j)$, we have $\deg(h) = 1$. □

Corollary 2.7. *The map $f\colon \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\} \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ defined by $f(a) = (Y_a, \rho_a)$ is a biholomorphism. The map $g\colon \mathcal{M}_2(D_8) \to Y_0(2)$ is a biholomorphism onto its image, which is the complement of $(1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$.*

Proof. Since $g \circ f$ extends to a biholomorphism and f is onto, both g and f are biholomorphisms onto their images. By Proposition 2.4, f is onto and, consequently, a biholomorphism. This also implies that the image of g is equal to the image of $g \circ f$, which we have shown is the complement of the point $(1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$. □

Outer automorphism. We have already seen that the non-trivial outer automorphism σ of D_8 acts on $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. We will now identify the corresponding automorphisms of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$ and $Y_0(2)$ intertwining σ with f and g.

The modular curve $Y_0(2)$ has an *Atkin-Lehner involution* which we will denote σ_X. The involution σ_X is given by the formula $\sigma_X(E, Z_E, T_E) = (F, Z_F, T_F)$ where F is isomorphic

to the quotient E/T_Z and T_F is the point of order two in the image of the two torsion on E under the degree two isogeny $E \to F = E/T_Z$. In terms of our presentation of $Y_0(2)$ as a complex orbifold, σ_X is defined by $\sigma_X(\tau \cdot \Gamma_0(2)) = \sigma_X(-1/2\tau \cdot \Gamma_0(2))$.

The Riemann surface $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$ parametrizing algebraic models for D_8-surfaces also has an involution:

$$\sigma_A(a) = (-2a + 12)/(a + 2).$$

It is straightforward to show that f and g intertwine σ, σ_A and σ_X, i.e.:

$$\sigma \circ f = f \circ \sigma_A \text{ and } \sigma_X \circ g = g \circ \sigma.$$

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2) are D_8-surfaces, and there is an isomorphism $s : X_1 \to X_2$ intertwining $\rho_i(J)$. Then (X_1, ρ_1) is equivalent to either (X_2, ρ_2) or $\sigma \cdot (X_2, \rho_2)$.*

Proof. We will show that s intertwines $\rho_1(J^k r)$ with $\rho_2(r)$ for some k. If $k = 0$ or $k = 2$, then either s or $s \circ \rho_1(J)$ gives an equivalence between (X_1, ρ_1) and (X_2, ρ_2). If $k = 1$ or $k = 3$, then either s or $s \circ \rho_1(J)$ gives an equivalence between (X_1, ρ_1) and $\sigma \cdot (X_2, \rho_2)$.

Setting $\phi = s \circ \rho_2(r) \circ s^{-1}$, our goal is to show that $\phi = \rho_1(J^k r)$ for some k. For the D_8-surface $f(a) = (Y_a, \rho_a)$, the involution $\rho_a(r)$ interchanges the two points in $\mathrm{Fix}(\rho_a(J))$. Since (X_i, ρ_i) are equivalent to (Y_{a_i}, ρ_{a_i}) for some a_i by Proposition 2.4, the same is true for (X_i, ρ_i). From $s \circ \rho_2(J) \circ s^{-1} = \rho_1(J)$ we see that $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Fix}(\rho_2(J))) = \mathrm{Fix}(\rho_1(J))$ and the composition $\phi \circ \rho_1(r)$ fixes both points in $\mathrm{Fix}(\rho_1(J))$. This in turn implies that $\phi \circ \rho_1(r) = \rho_1(J)^k$ for some k. □

Fixed point of σ. The following proposition about the unique fixed point of σ will be useful in our discussion of surfaces obtained from pinwheels.

Proposition 2.9. *Fix $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *There is an automorphism $\phi \in Aut(X)$ satisfying $\phi^2 = \rho(J)$,*
2. *$\sigma \cdot (X, \rho) \sim (X, \rho)$,*
3. *$(X, \rho) = f(6)$, and*
4. *$g(X, \rho) = \sqrt{-2}/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$.*

The proof is straightforward so we omit it.

Cusps of $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma$. The coarse space associated to $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\})/\sigma_A$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ and has two cusps. The following proposition gives a geometric characterization of the difference between these two cusps and will be important in our discussion of surfaces obtained from pinwheels.

Proposition 2.10. *For a sequence of D_8-surface (X_i, ρ_i), the following are equivalent:*

1. *X_i tend to a stable limit with geometric genus zero as $i \to \infty$,*

2. *$\mathbb{C}(X_i) \cong K_{a_i}$ with $a_i \to \infty$, and*

3. *the quotients $X_i/\rho_i(r)$ diverge in $\mathcal{M}_1$ as $i \to \infty$.*

The proof of this proposition is also straightforward so we omit it.

A modular function. In Section 1 we defined modular functions $\lambda(\tau)$ and $a(\tau)$. We now show:

Proposition 2.11. *If $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ satisfies $g(X, \rho) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$, then:*

$$\mathbb{C}(X) \cong K_{a(\tau)} \text{ where } a(\tau) = -2 + \frac{1}{\lambda(\tau)\lambda(\tau+1)}.$$

Proof. Up to precomposition by $\tau \mapsto -1/2\tau$, the function $a : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined above is the unique holomorphic function satisfying (1) a covers an isomorphism $\bar{a} : Y_0(2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2\}$ and (2) $a((1+i)/2) = 2$. The biholomorphic extension $h : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2\} \to Y_0(2)$ of $g \circ f$ from Proposition 2.6 has $h(2) = (1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$, so h^{-1} also satisfies (1) and (2). As a consequence, $f(\bar{a}(E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau))$ is equal to either $f(a(\tau))$ or $f(a(-1/2\tau)) = \sigma \cdot f(a(\tau))$. In either case, $\mathbb{C}(X) \cong K_{a(\tau)}$. □

As we saw in the proof of Proposition 2.6, the function $a(\tau)$ satisfies

$$a(\tau)^3 + 3a(\tau)^2 + (3 - j(\tau)/256)a(\tau) + 1 - j(\tau)/128 = 0$$

where $j(\tau)$ is the function, modular for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and equal to the j-invariant of E_τ.

Jacobians of surfaces with involutions. Our next goal is to compute the Jacobian of a D_8-surface (X, ρ) in terms of $g(X, \rho) \in Y_0(2)$. We start, more generally, by describing the Jacobian of a surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ with an involution $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, $\phi \neq \eta$ in terms of the quotients X/ϕ and $X/\eta\phi$.

From Table 2 we see that there are distinct complex numbers t_1 and t_2 so that:

$$\mathbb{C}(X) \cong \mathbb{C}(x, y) \text{ with } y^2 = (x^2-1)(x^2-t_1)(x^2-t_2) \text{ and } \phi(x, y) = (-x, y).$$

The quotients $E = X/\phi$ and $F = X/\eta\phi$ have algebraic models given by

$$\mathbb{C}(E) \cong \mathbb{C}(z_E = y, w_E = x^2) \text{ with } z_E^2 = (w_E - 1)(w_E - t_1)(w_E - t_2) \text{ and}$$
$$\mathbb{C}(F) \cong \mathbb{C}(z_F = xy, w_F = x^2) \text{ with } z_F^2 = w_F(w_F - 1)(w_F - t_1)(w_F - t_2).$$

The genus one surfaces E and F have natural base points $Z_E = \mathrm{Fix}(\eta\phi)/\phi = w_E^{-1}(\infty)$ and $Z_F = \mathrm{Fix}(\phi)/\eta\phi = w_F^{-1}(0)$ respectively. Also, the image of X^W under the map $X \to E \times F$ is the set:

$$\Gamma^W = \left\{(w_E^{-1}(t), w_F^{-1}(t)) \in E \times F : t = 1, t_1 \text{ or } t_2\right\}.$$

The set Γ^W generates a subgroup of order four of the two torsion on $E \times F$ under the group laws with identity element $Z_E \times Z_F$.

Proposition 2.12. *Suppose X, ϕ, E, F and Γ^W are as above. The Jacobian of X satisfies:*

$$\mathrm{Jac}(X) \cong E \times F/\Gamma^W$$

and the principal polarization on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ *pulls back to twice the product polarization on $E \times F$ under the quotient by Γ^W map.*

Proof. Let $\psi : X \to E \times F$ be the obvious map. By writing down explicit bases for $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ using the algebraic models defined above, it is straightforward to check that the image of $\psi_* : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ has index four and that the symplectic form on $\psi_*(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ induced by the intersection pairing on X extends to twice the ordinary symplectic form on $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$.

The holomorphic map ψ factors through a map on Jacobians:

$$\psi : \mathrm{Jac}(X) \to \mathrm{Jac}(E) \times \mathrm{Jac}(F)$$

whose degree is equal to $[H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_1(F, \mathbb{Z}) : \psi_*(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))] = 4$. Under the identification of $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ with the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$, the two torsion in $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ consists of degree zero divisors of the form $[P_i - P_j]$ with $P_i \neq P_j$ and $P_i, P_j \in X^W$. The image of the two torsion in $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ under ψ has image Γ^W generating a subgroup of order four in $\mathrm{Jac}(E) \times \mathrm{Jac}(F)$. The composition of ψ with the quotient by Γ^W map has degree 16, vanishes on the two-torsion and factors through multiplication by two on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ to give an isomorphism. □

Jacobians of D_8-surfaces. With Proposition 2.12, it is now easy to compute $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ for a D_8-surface (X, ρ) in terms of $g(X, \rho)$. In Section 1, we defined a principally polarized Abelian variety A_τ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 2.13. *If $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ satisfies $g(X, \rho) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$, then* $\mathrm{Jac}(X) \cong A_\tau$.

Proof. Set $\phi = \rho(r)$, $E = X/\phi$ and $F = X/\eta\phi$. By our definition of g, E is isomorphic to E_τ. Also, $\eta\phi = \rho(J) \circ \phi \circ \rho(J^{-1})$, so $\rho(J)$ induces an isomorphism between F and E. In particular, F is also isomorphic to E_τ. The image of $\Gamma^W \subset E \times F$ under the isomorphism to $E \times F \to E_\tau \times E_\tau$ is the graph of the induced action of $\rho(J)$ on the two torsion of E_τ. Setting $T_\tau = 1/2 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$, $Q_\tau = \tau/2 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and $R_\tau = (\tau + 1)/2 + \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$, we have:

$$\Gamma^W = \{(T_\tau, T_\tau), (Q_\tau, R_\tau), (R_\tau, Q_\tau)\}.$$

The Abelian variety $E_\tau \times E_\tau/\Gamma^W$, principally polarized by half the product polarization on $E_\tau \times E_\tau$, is easily checked to be equal to A_τ. (Note that the lattice Λ_τ used to define A_τ contains the vectors $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2\tau \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 2\tau \end{smallmatrix}\right)$). □



Figure 3: The surfaces X_τ and $X_{\tau+1}$ are isomorphic since the polygons P_τ and $P_{\tau+1}$ differ by a cut-and-paste operation.

Pinwheels. In Section 1, we defined a domain U and associated to each $\tau \in U$ a polygonal pinwheel P_τ and a surface X_τ with order four automorphism J_τ.

Proposition 2.14. *Fix $\tau \in U$. There is an injective homomorphism $\rho_\tau : D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_\tau)$ with $\rho_\tau(J) = J_\tau$ and $g(X_\tau, \rho_\tau) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, P_\tau)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, the surface X_τ admits a faithful D_8-action $\rho_\tau : D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(D_8)$ with $\rho(J) = J_\tau$. Since U is simply connected, we can choose ρ_τ so $\tau \mapsto (X_\tau, \rho_\tau)$ gives a holomorphic map $p : U \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$.

As depicted in Figure 3, the polygons P_τ and $P_{\tau+1}$ differ by a Euclidean cut-and-paste operation, giving an isomorphism between X_τ and $X_{\tau+1}$ intertwining J_τ and $J_{\tau+1}$. Also, the polygons P_τ and $P_{-1/2\tau}$ differ by a Euclidean similarity, giving an isomorphism between X_τ and $X_{-1/2\tau}$ intertwining J_τ and $J_{-1/2\tau}$. By Proposition 2.2, p covers a holomorphic map $\bar{p} : U/\sim \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma$ where $\tau \sim \tau + 1$ and $\tau \sim -1/2\tau$.

The coarse spaces associated to both $U/\sim$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\})/\sigma_A$ are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$. Also, there is a unique point $\tau = \sqrt{-2}/2$ in U for which J_τ is the square of an order eight automorphism, so $p^{-1}(\mathrm{Fix}(\sigma)) = \{\sqrt{-2}/2\}$. In particular $\bar{p}$ has degree one and is a biholomorphism. There are precisely two biholomorphisms $U/\sim \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma$ satisfying $\bar{p}(\sqrt{-2}/2) = \mathrm{Fix}(\sigma)/\sigma$. They are distinguished by the geometric genus of the stable limit of $p(\tau)$ as $\mathrm{Im}(\tau)$ tends to ∞. The geometric genus of X_τ as $\mathrm{Im}(\tau)$ tends to infinity is zero.

Another holomorphic map $p_1 : U \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$ is given by $p_1(\tau) = g^{-1}(E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$. Since p_1 intertwines $\tau \mapsto -1/2\tau$ and the outer automorphism σ, and $(E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau) = (E_{\tau+1}, Z_{\tau+1}, T_{\tau+1})$, p_1 also covers an isomorphism $\bar{p}_1 : U/\sim \to \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma$. Moreover $p_1(\sqrt{-2}/2) = \mathrm{Fix}(\sigma)/\sigma$ and the limit of $p_1(\tau)$ diverges tends to the cusp of $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)/\sigma$ with stable limit of genus zero. So $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{p}$ and the proposition follows. □

Combining the results of this section we have:

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Fix $\tau \in U$. By Proposition 2.14, the surface X_τ obtained from P_τ admits a D_8-action ρ_τ with $g(X_\tau, \rho_\tau) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, P_\tau)$. By Proposition 2.13, $\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau) \cong A_\tau$ and by Proposition 2.11 $\mathbb{C}(X_\tau) \cong K_{a(\tau)}$.

Now fix any $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. The domain U is a fundamental domain for the group generated by $\Gamma_0(2)$ and the Atkin-Lehner involution $\tau \mapsto -1/2\tau$. It follows that there is a $\tau \in U$ so $g(X, \rho) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$ or $g(\sigma \cdot (X, \rho)) = (E_\tau, Z_\tau, T_\tau)$. In either case, by Proposition 2.14 and the fact that g is an isomorphism onto its image, there is an isomorphism $X \to X_\tau$ intertwining $\rho(J)$ and J_τ. □

3 Orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces

In this section, we discuss two dimensional Abelian varieties with real multiplication, Hilbert modular surfaces and their orbifold points. Our main goals are to show: (1) the Abelian variety $A_\tau \cong \mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau)$ has complex multiplication if and only if τ is imaginary quadratic, completing the proof of Theorem 1.8 (Proposition 3.2) (2) the Jacobians of D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces with complex multiplication are labeled by orbifold points in $\bigcup_D X_D$ (Proposition 3.4) and (3) establish the characterization of orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$ of Theorem 1.5 (Propositions 3.5).

Quadratic orders. Each integer $D \equiv 0$ or $1 \bmod 4$ determines a quadratic ring:

$$\mathcal{O}_D = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t]}{(t^2 - Dt + D(D-1)/4)}.$$

The integer D is called the *discriminant* of $\mathcal{O}_D$. We will write $\sqrt{D}$ for the element $2t - D \in \mathcal{O}_D$ whose square is D and define $K_D = \mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

We will typically reserve the letter D for positive discriminants and the letter C for negative discriminants. For a positive discriminant $D > 0$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_D$ is totally real, i.e. every homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_D$ into $\mathbb{C}$ factors through $\mathbb{R}$. For such D, we will denote by σ_+ and σ_- the two homomorphisms $K_D \to \mathbb{R}$ characterized by $\sigma_+(\sqrt{D}) > 0 > \sigma_-(\sqrt{D})$. We will also use σ_+ and σ_- for the corresponding homomorphisms $\mathrm{SL}_2(K_D) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The *inverse different* is the fractional ideal $\mathcal{O}_D^\vee = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathcal{O}_D$ and is equal to the trace dual of $\mathcal{O}_D$.

Unimodular modules. Now let $\Lambda_D = \mathcal{O}_D \oplus \mathcal{O}_D^\vee \subset K_D^2$. The $\mathcal{O}_D$-module Λ_D has a unimodular symplectic form induced by trace:

$$\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K_D}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1).$$

Up to symplectic isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_D$-modules, Λ_D is the unique unimodular $\mathcal{O}_D$-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^4$ as an Abelian group with the property that the action of $\mathcal{O}_D$ is self-adjoint and proper (cf. [Mc4], Theorem 4.4). Here, *self-adjoint* means $\langle \lambda v, w \rangle = \langle v, \lambda w \rangle$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_D$ and *proper* means that the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module structure on Λ_D is faithful and does not extend to a larger ring in K_D.

Symplectic $\mathcal{O}_D$-module automorphisms. Let $\mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)$ denote the group of symplectic $\mathcal{O}_D$-module automorphisms of Λ_D. This group coincides with the $\mathcal{O}_D$-module automorphisms of Λ_D and equals:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} ad - bc = 1, a, d \in \mathcal{O}_D, \\ b \in \sqrt{D}\mathcal{O}_D \text{ and } c \in \mathcal{O}_D^\vee \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(K_D)$$

with $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ acting on Λ_D by sending (x, y) to $(ax+by, cx+dy)$. The group $\mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)$ embeds in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ via $A \mapsto (\sigma_+(A), \sigma_-(A))$ and acts on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ by Möbius transformations:

$$(\tau_+, \tau_-) \cdot A = \left(\frac{\sigma_+(d\tau + b)}{\sigma_+(c\tau + a)}, \frac{\sigma_-(d\tau + b)}{\sigma_-(c\tau + a)} \right)$$

where $\sigma_+(y\tau + x) = \sigma_+(y)\tau_+ + \sigma_+(x)$ and $\sigma_-(y\tau + x) = \sigma_-(y)\tau_- + \sigma_-(x)$.

The following proposition characterizes the elements of $\mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)$ fixing every point in $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ and is elementary to verify:

Proposition 3.1. *Let h be the homomorphism $h\colon \mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $h(A) = (\pm\sigma_+(A), \pm\sigma_-(A))$. For $A \in \mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)$, the following are equivalent:*

- *A fixes every point in $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$,*
- *$A^2 = 1$,*
- *$A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ where $t \in \mathcal{O}_D$ satisfies $t^2 = 1$, and*
- *A is in $\ker(h)$.*

The group $\ker(h)$ is isomorphic to the Klein-four group when $D = 1$ or 4 and is cyclic of order two otherwise.

Hilbert modular surfaces. The group $\mathrm{PSL}(\Lambda_D) = \mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)/\ker(h)$ acts faithfully and properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ and we define X_D to be the quotient:

$$X_D = \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}/\mathrm{PSL}(\Lambda_D).$$

We will denote by $[\tau]$ the point in X_D represented by $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$. The complex orbifold is a typical example of a *Hilbert modular surface*.

Abelian varieties with real multiplication. Now let $B = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ be a principally polarized Abelian surface. The *endomorphism ring* $\mathrm{End}(B)$ of B is the ring of holomorphic homomorphisms from B to itself. We will say that B admits *real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$* if there is a proper and self-adjoint homomorphism:

$$\iota\colon \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B).$$

Self-adjoint and proper here mean that ι turns the unimodular lattice $H_1(B, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda$ into self-adjoint and proper $\mathcal{O}_D$-module.

Moduli of Abelian varieties with real multiplication. Now let A_2 be the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian surfaces and set:

$$A_2(\mathcal{O}_D) = \left\{ (B,\iota) : \begin{array}{c} B \in A_2 \text{ and } \iota : \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B) \\ \text{is proper and self-adjoint} \end{array} \right\} / \sim .$$

Here, two pairs (B_1,ι_1) and (B_2,ι_2) are equivalent, and we write $(B_1,\iota_1) \sim (B_2,\iota_2)$, if there is a polarization preserving isomorphism $B_1 \to B_2$ intertwining ι_i.

As we now describe and following [Mc4] §4 (see also [BL] Chapter 9), the Hilbert modular surface X_D parametrizes $A_2(\mathcal{O}_D)$ and presents $A_2(\mathcal{O}_D)$ as a complex orbifold. For $\tau = (\tau_+,\tau_-) \in \mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}$, define $\phi_\tau\colon\ \Lambda_D \to \mathbb{C}^2$ by:

$$\phi_\tau(x,y) = (\sigma_+(x+y\tau), \sigma_-(x+y\tau)).$$

The image $\phi_\tau(\Lambda_D)$ is a lattice, and the complex torus $B_\tau = \mathbb{C}^2/\phi_\tau(\Lambda_D)$ is principally polarized by the symplectic form on Λ_D. For each $x \in \mathcal{O}_D$, the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sigma_+(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_-(x) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ preserves the lattice $\phi_\tau(\Lambda_D)$ giving real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$ on B_τ:

$$\iota_\tau\colon\ \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B_\tau).$$

For $A \in \mathrm{SL}(\Lambda_D)$, the embeddings $\phi_{\tau A}$ and ϕ_τ are related by $\phi_{\tau A} = C(A)\circ\phi_\tau\circ A$ where $C(A) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sigma_+(a+c\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_-(a+c\tau) \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. From this we see that there is a polarization preserving isomorphism between B_τ and $B_{\tau A}$ that intertwines ι_τ and $\iota_{\tau A}$ and that the correspondence $\tau \to (B_\tau,\iota_\tau)$ descends to a map $X_D \to A_2(\mathcal{O}_D)$. This map is in fact a bijection and presents $A_2(\mathcal{O}_D)$ as complex orbifold.

Complex multiplication. Now let $\mathcal{O}$ be a degree two, totally imaginary extension of $\mathcal{O}_D$. We will say that $B \in A_2$ admits *complex multiplication by* $\mathcal{O}$ if there is a proper and Hermitian-adjoint homomorphism:

$$\iota\colon\ \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{End}(B).$$

Here, Hermitian-adjoint means that the symplectic dual of $\iota(x)$ acting on $H_1(B,\mathbb{Z})$ is $\iota(\bar{x})$ where $\bar{x}$ is the complex conjugate of x and proper, as usual, means that ι does not extend to a larger ring in $\mathcal{O}\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.

For a one dimensional Abelian variety $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ in A_1, we will say E has *complex multiplication by* $\mathcal{O}_C$ if $\mathrm{End}(E)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C$. The *ideal class group* $H(C)$ is the set of invertible $\mathcal{O}_C$-ideals modulo principal ideals and is well known to be in bijection with the set of $E \in A_1$ with $\mathrm{End}(A) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. Since $\mathcal{O}_C$ is quadratic, the invertible $\mathcal{O}_C$-ideals coincide with the proper $\mathcal{O}_C$-submodules of $\mathcal{O}_C$. The *class number* $h(C)$ is the order of the ideal class group $H(C)$.

We are now ready to determine which Jacobians of D_8-surfaces have complex multiplication.

Proposition 3.2. *Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. The Abelian variety A_τ has complex multiplication if and only if τ is imaginary quadratic.*

Proof. First suppose τ is imaginary quadratic. The vector space $\Lambda_\tau \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is stabilized by the matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ which together generate a Hermitian adjoint embedding $\iota\colon \mathbb{Q}(\tau, i) \to \mathrm{End}(A_\tau) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The restriction of ι to the order $\mathcal{O} = \iota^{-1}(\mathrm{End}(A_\tau))$ is complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}$ on A_τ.

Now suppose τ is not imaginary quadratic. We have seen that there is a degree four, surjective holomorphic map $f\colon A_\tau \to E_\tau \times E_\tau$. As is well known and is implied by the stronger Proposition 4.4, such a map gives rise to an isomorphism between the rational endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}(A_\tau) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}(E_\tau \times E_\tau) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. As a consequence, any commutative ring in $\mathrm{End}(A_\tau) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has rank at most two over $\mathbb{Q}$ and A_τ does not have complex multiplication by any order. □

We have now proved all the claims in our Theorem from §1 about D_8-surfaces:

Proof of Theorem 1.8. The claims relating X_τ, $K_{a(\tau)}$ and A_τ for $\tau \in U$ are established in Proposition 2.1. The characterization of when $\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau)$ has complex multiplication is established in Proposition 3.2. □

Jacobians of D_{12}-surfaces with complex multiplication. In Appendix A we similarly define for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ an Abelian variety $\widetilde{A}_\tau = \mathbb{C}^2/\widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau$ which, for most τ, is the Jacobian of D_{12}-surface. A nearly identical argument shows that, for $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$, the Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ has complex multiplication if and only if $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ has complex multiplication by an order extending $\rho(Z)$, which happens if and only if $\mathrm{Jac}(X) \cong \widetilde{A}_\tau$ with τ imaginary quadratic.

Orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces. We are now ready to study the orbifold points on X_D. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, we define the *orbifold order of* $[\tau]$ in X_D to be the order of the group $\mathrm{Stab}(\tau) \subset \mathrm{PSL}(\Lambda_D)$. We will call $[\tau] \in X_D$ an *orbifold point* if the orbifold order of $[\tau]$ is greater than one.

The following proposition gives an initial characterization of the Abelian varieties labeled by such points:

Proposition 3.3. *Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ and an integer $n > 2$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *The point τ is fixed by an $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\Lambda_D)$ of order n.*
2. *There is an automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(B_\tau)$ of order n that commutes with $\iota_\tau(\mathcal{O}_D)$.*
3. *The homomorphism $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B_\tau)$ extends to complex multiplication by an order containing $\mathcal{O}_D[\zeta_n]$ where ζ_n is a primitive nth root of unity.*

Proof. First suppose (1) holds with $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\tau = \tau A$. We have seen that $\phi_\tau \circ A$ and $\phi_{\tau A} = \phi_\tau$ differ by multiplication by the matrix $C(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_+(a+c\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_-(a+c\tau) \end{pmatrix}$. It follows that $C(A)$ restricts to a symplectic automorphism of $\phi_\tau(\Lambda_D)$, giving rise to an automorphism

$\phi \in B_\tau$ of order n which commutes with ι_τ since A is $\mathcal{O}_D$-linear. Now suppose (2) holds. The homomorphism $\iota_\tau : \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B_\tau)$ extends to $\mathcal{O}_D[\zeta_n]$ via $\iota_\tau(\zeta_n) = \phi$ and this extension is Hermitian-adjoint since ϕ is symplectic. Finally, if (3) holds, then the automorphism $\iota_\tau(\zeta_n)$ restricts to an $\mathcal{O}_D$-module automorphism of $H_1(B_\tau, \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda_D$, giving a matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_D \oplus \mathcal{O}_D^\vee)$ of order n and fixing τ. □

We can now show that the D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces with complex multiplication give orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces:

Proposition 3.4. *The Jacobians of D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces with complex multiplication are labeled by orbifold points in $\bigcup_D X_D$.*

Proof. We will show that D_8 Jacobians with complex multiplication are labeled by orbifold points in $\bigcup_D X_D$. A nearly identical argument shows the same is true of D_{12} Jacobians with complex multiplication. By Proposition 3.2, any such Jacobian is isomorphic to A_τ for some imaginary quadratic τ. The order $\mathcal{O}$ constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.2 contains i since $\iota(i)$ is integral on A_τ, and $(A_\tau, \iota|_{\mathcal{O}\cap\mathbb{R}})$ clearly satisfies condition (3) of Proposition 3.3. □

We conclude this section by showing most of the orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$ label Jacobians of D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces.

Proposition 3.5. *Fix an orbifold point $[\tau] \in X_D$. At least one of the following holds:*

- *B_τ is a product of elliptic curves;*
- *$[\tau]$ is a point of orbifold order five on X_5;*
- *B_τ is the Jacobian of a D_8-surface with complex multiplication; or*
- *B_τ is the Jacobian of a D_{12}-surface with complex multiplication.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, the Abelian variety B_τ labeled by $[\tau]$ has a symplectic automorphism ϕ of order greater than two and commuting with ι_τ. It is well known that every principally polarized two dimensional Abelian variety is either a polarized product of elliptic curves of the Jacobian of a smooth genus two Riemann surface, and that the automorphism group of a genus two Riemann surface is isomorphic to the automorphism group of its Jacobian (cf. [BL], Chapter 11). If B_τ is not a product of elliptic curves, choose $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ so $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ is isomorphic to B_τ and choose $\phi_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ so that an isomorphism $\mathrm{Jac}(X) \to B_\tau$ intertwines ϕ and ϕ_0.

From Table 2, we see that $[\phi_0|_{X^W}]$ is in one of $[1,5]$, $[1,1,2,2]$, $[2,4]$, $[1,1,4]$, $[3,3]$ or $[6]$. If $[\phi_0|_{X^W}] = [1,5]$, X is the unique genus two surface with an order five automorphism, and $[\tau]$ is one of the points of order five on X_5. If $[\phi_0|_{X^W}] = [1,1,2,2]$, $[2,4]$, or $[1,1,4]$, B_τ is the Jacobian of D_8-surface and has complex multiplication by Proposition 3.3. In the remaining cases, B_τ is the Jacobian of D_{12}-surface and, again, has complex multiplication by Proposition 3.3. □

We have now proved the characterization of the orbifold points on $\bigcup_D X_D$ in Theorem 1.5:

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Proposition 3.4, the Jacobians of D_8- and D_{12}-surfaces with complex multiplication give orbifold points on Hilbert modular surfaces. By Proposition 3.5, these points give all of the orbifold points on X_D except those which are products of elliptic curves and the points of order five on X_5. □

4 Orbifold points on Weierstrass curves

In this section we study the orbifold points on the Weierstrass curve W_D. We start by recalling the definition of W_D. An easy consequence of our classification of the orbifold points on X_D in Section 3 gives the characterization of orbifold points on $\bigcup_D W_D$ of Corollary 1.6. We then establish the formula in Theorem 1.1 by sorting the D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication by the order for real multiplication commuting with $\rho(J)$. To do so, we relate the order for real multiplication on (X, ρ) to the order for complex multiplication on $X/\rho(r)$. Finally, we conclude this section by giving a simple method for enumerating the $\tau \in U$ corresponding to orbifold points on W_D.

Eigenforms for real multiplication. For a principally polarized Abelian variety B with real multiplication $\iota\colon \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(B)$, a place $\sigma_0\colon \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathbb{R}$ distinguishes a line of σ_0-eigenforms on B satisfying $\iota(x)^*\omega = \sigma_0(x)\omega$ for each $x \in \mathcal{O}_D$. When $(B, \iota) = (B_\tau = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda_\tau, \iota_\tau)$, the σ_+ eigenforms are the multiples of dz_1 and the σ_--eigenforms are the multiples of dz_2 where z_i is the ith-coordinate on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

For a Riemann surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$, the Abel-Jacobi map $X \to \mathrm{Jac}(X)$ induces an isomorphism on the space of holomorphic one forms. For Jacobians that admit real multiplication, a choice of real multiplication ι on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ distinguishes σ_+- and σ_--eigenforms on X. Conversely, a one-form up to scale $[\omega]$ on X that happens to be stabilized by real multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$ on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$, there is a unique embedding $\iota_+^{[\omega]}\colon \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$ characterized by the requirement that $\iota_+^{[\omega]}(x)\omega = \sigma_+(x)\omega$ (cf. [Mc4] §4).

The Weierstrass curve. The *Weierstrass curve* W_D of discriminant D is the moduli space:

$$W_D = \left\{ (X, [\omega]) : \begin{array}{c} X \in \mathcal{M}_2 \text{ and } \omega \text{ is an eigenform for real} \\ \text{multiplication by } \mathcal{O}_D \text{ with double zero} \end{array} \right\} / \sim .$$

Here, $[\omega]$ is a one-form up to scale, and $(X_1, [\omega_1])$ is equivalent to $(X_2, [\omega_2])$ and we write $(X_1, [\omega_1]) \sim (X_2, [\omega_2])$ if there is an isomorphism $\phi\colon X_1 \to X_2$ with $\phi^*\omega_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*\omega_2$. The map $(X, [\omega]) \mapsto (\mathrm{Jac}(X), \iota_+^{[\omega]})$ embeds W_D in the Hilbert modular surface X_D. The natural immersion $W_D \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is shown to be a finite union of Teichmüller curves in [Mc1] (see also [Ca]).

Orbifold points on Weierstrass curves. The Weierstrass curve can be presented as a complex orbifold in several equivalent ways. One way is to use the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-action on the moduli space of holomorphic one forms and Veech groups as in [Mc1]. Another is to study the immersion $W_D \to X_D$ and give W_D the structure of a suborbifold as in [Ba].

The details of these presentations are not important for our discussion; instead, we simply define the notion of orbifold order and orbifold point on W_D. For a Riemann surface X and non-zero holomorphic one form $\omega \in \Omega(X)$, let $\mathrm{Aut}(X, \{\pm\omega\})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ consisting of ϕ with $\phi^*\omega = \pm\omega$, let $\mathrm{SO}(X, \omega) = \mathrm{Aut}(X, [\omega])$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ consisting of automorphisms ϕ for which preserve ω up to scale and set:

$$\mathrm{PSO}(X, \omega) = \mathrm{SO}(X, \omega)/\mathrm{Aut}(X, \{\pm\omega\}).$$

The groups $\mathrm{Aut}(X, \{\pm\omega\})$, $\mathrm{SO}(X, \omega)$ and $\mathrm{PSO}(X, \omega)$ only depend on ω up to scale.

For a point $(X, [\omega]) \in W_D$, we define the *orbifold order of* $(X, [\omega])$ to be the order of the group $\mathrm{PSO}(X, \omega)$ and we will call $(X, [\omega])$ an *orbifold point* if its orbifold order is greater than one. Using the characterization of orbifold points on X_D in Proposition 3.3 it is straightforward to check that $(X, [\omega]) \in W_D$ is an orbifold point if and only if the pair $(\mathrm{Jac}(X), \iota_+^{[\omega]})$ is an orbifold point on X_D.

Orbifold points on W_D and D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication. Recall from Section 1 that we defined a domain U and associated to each $\tau \in U$ a polygonal pinwheel P_τ so the surface $X_\tau = P_\tau/\sim$ admits a faithful action of D_8. Let J_τ, as usual, denote the obvious order four automorphism of X_τ obtained by counterclockwise rotation of P_τ and let ω_τ denote the eigenform for J_τ obtained from dz on P_τ.

Proposition 4.1. *For $\tau \in U$, the group $\mathrm{PSO}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ is cyclic of order two except when $\tau = \sqrt{-2}/2$, in which case $\mathrm{PSO}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ is cyclic of order four.*

Proof. Recall from the proof of Proposition 2.14 that J_τ extends to a faithful action $\rho\colon D_8 \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ with $\rho(J) = J_\tau$. From the fact that $\mathbb{C}(X_\tau) \cong K_{a(\tau)}$, it is easy to verify that ρ is an isomorphism except when (X, ρ) is the fixed point of the outer automorphism σ, i.e. $\tau = \sqrt{-2}/2$. For $\tau \neq \sqrt{-2}/2$, the group $\mathrm{SO}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ is generated by J_τ, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_\tau, \{\pm\omega_\tau\})$ is generated by the hyperelliptic involution J_τ^2 and $\mathrm{PSO}(X, \omega_\tau)$ is cyclic of order two. When $\tau = \sqrt{-2}/2$, P_τ is the regular octagon and it is easy to verify that $\mathrm{PSO}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ is cyclic of order four. □

We now show that the D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication give orbifold points on Weierstrass curves:

Proposition 4.2. *If $\tau \in U$ is imaginary quadratic, then there is a discriminant $D > 0$ so the surface with one form up to scale $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ is an orbifold point on W_D.*

Proof. Fix an imaginary quadratic $\tau \in U$. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 3.2, the order four automorphism J_τ on X_τ, extends to complex multiplication by an order $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\tau, i)$. If D is the discriminant of $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{R}$, we see that ω_τ is an eigenform for real

multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_D$. Also, ω_τ has a double zero, as can be seen directly by counting cone-angle around vertices of P_τ or can be deduced from the fact that ω_τ is stabilized by the order four automorphism J_τ (cf. Proposition 2.2). From this we see that $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) \in W_D$ and is an orbifold point on W_D since $\mathrm{PSO}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ has order at least two. □

We can now show that almost all of the orbifold points on $\bigcup_D W_D$ are D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication:

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $(X, [\omega]) \in W_D$ is an orbifold point. One of the following holds:*

- *$(X, [\omega])$ is the point of orbifold order five on W_5,*
- *$(X, [\omega])$ is the point of orbifold order four on W_8, or*
- *$(X, [\omega]) = (X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ for some imaginary quadratic $\tau \in U$ with $\tau \neq \sqrt{-2}/2$.*

In particular, for $D > 8$, all of the orbifold points on W_D have orbifold order two.

Proof. By our definition of orbifold point, X has an automorphism ϕ stabilizing ω up to scale and with $\phi^*\omega$ not equal to $\pm\omega$. Such a ϕ must fix the zero of ω which is a Weierstrass point and the conjugacy class $[\phi|_{X^W}]$ is one of $[1, 5]$, $[1, 1, 4]$ or $[1, 1, 2, 2]$. In the first case, $(X, [\omega])$ is the point of order five on W_5. In the remaining cases, $(X, [\omega]) = (X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ for some imaginary quadratic $\tau \in U$. When $\tau = \sqrt{-2}/2$ and $(X, [\omega])$ is the point of orbifold order four on W_8 obtained from the regular octagon and when $\tau \neq \sqrt{-2}/2$, $(X, [\omega])$ is a point of orbifold order two for some larger discriminant. □

We have now proved the claims in Corollary 1.6:

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By Proposition 4.2, the D_8-surfaces with complex multiplication give orbifold points on $\bigcup_D W_D$. By Proposition 4.3, they give all of the orbifold points except for the point of order five on W_5. □

Isogeny and endomorphism. In light of Proposition 4.3, to give a formula for the number $e_2(W_D)$ of points of orbifold order two on W_D, we need to sort the D_8-surfaces (X, ρ) with complex multiplication by order for real multiplication commuting with $\rho(J)$. To do so, we relate this order to the order for complex multiplication on $E_\rho = X/\rho(r)$.

We saw in Section 2 that there is an isogeny $\mathrm{Jac}(X) \to E_\rho \times E_\rho$ such that the polarization on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ is twice the pullback of the product polarization on $E_\rho \times E_\rho$. The following proposition will allow us to embed the endomorphism ring of $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ in the rational endomorphism ring $E_\rho \times E_\rho$:

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $f\colon A \to B$ is an isogeny between principally polarized Abelian varieties with the property the polarization on A is n-times the polarization pulled back from B. The ring $\mathrm{End}(B)$ is isomorphic as an involutive algebra to the subring $R_f \subset \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ given by:*

$$R_f = \frac{1}{n} \{\phi \in \mathrm{End}(A) : \phi(\ker(nf)) \subset \ker(f)\}.$$

Proof. Let $f^*\colon B \to A$ denote the isogeny dual to f. The condition on the polarization implies that $f \circ f^*$ and $f^* \circ f$ are the multiplication by n-maps on B and A respectively. We will show that the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\colon \ \mathrm{End}(B) &\to \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \phi &\mapsto \frac{1}{n} f^* \circ \phi \circ f \end{aligned}$$

is an injective homomorphism and has image $\psi(\mathrm{End}(B)) = R_f$. The map ψ is easily checked to be a homomorphism and is injective since rationally it is an isomorphism, with inverse is given by $\psi^{-1}(\phi) = \frac{1}{n} f \circ \phi \circ f^*$.

For an integer $k > 0$, let $B[k]$ denote the k-torsion on B. The image of $\mathrm{End}(B)$ is contained in R_f since:

$$\ker(nf) \xrightarrow{f} B[n] \xrightarrow{\phi} B[n] \xrightarrow{f^*} \ker(f)$$

for any $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(B)$. To see that the image of ψ is all of R_f, fix $\phi_0 \in \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $\phi_0(\ker(nf)) \subset \ker(f)$. The endomorphism $\phi = \frac{1}{n^2} f \circ \phi_0 \circ f^*$ is integral on B since:

$$B[n^2] \xrightarrow{f^*} \ker(nf) \xrightarrow{\phi} \ker(f) \xrightarrow{f} 0.$$

Since $\psi(\phi) = \phi_0$, the image of ψ is all of R_f.

The isomorphism between $\mathrm{End}(B)$ and R_f has $\psi(\phi)^* = \psi(\phi^*)$ since $(f^* \phi f)^* = f^* \phi^* f$. $\square$

Invertible modules over finite rings. From Proposition 4.4 and the fact that $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ admits a degree four isogeny to $E_\rho \times E_\rho$ with $E_\rho = X/\rho(r)$, we see that the discriminant of the order for real multiplication on $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ commuting with $\rho(J)$ is, up to factors of two, equal to $-C$ where C is the discriminant of $\mathrm{End}(E_\rho)$. To determine the actual order for real multiplication, we first need to determine the possibilities for $E_\rho[4]$ as an $\mathcal{O}_C$-module. The following proposition is well known:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an imaginary quadratic order and I be an $\mathcal{O}$-ideal which is a proper $\mathcal{O}$-module. The module I/nI is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}/n\mathcal{O}$ as $\mathcal{O}$-modules.*

For maximal orders, see Proposition 1.4 in [Si2]. For non-maximal orders, Proposition 4.5 follows from the fact that $\mathcal{O}$-ideals which are proper $\mathcal{O}$-modules are invertible ([La], §8.1) and standard commutative algebra.

Formula for number and type of orbifold points on W_D. We are now ready to prove our main theorem giving a formula for the number and type of orbifold points on W_D:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have already seen that, for discriminants $D > 8$, all of the orbifold points on W_D have orbifold order two. It remains to establish the formula for the number of such points.

Recall from Section 2 that we constructed a holomorphic map $g : \mathcal{M}_2(D_8) \to Y_0(2)$ given by $g(X, \rho) = (E_\rho, Z_\rho, T_\rho)$ which is an isomorphism onto the complement of the point

C mod 16	D_1	D_2	D_3
0	$-4C$	$-C$	$-4C$
4	$-4C$	$-4C$	$-C$
8	$-4C$	$-C$	$-4C$
12	$-4C$	$-4C$	$-C/4$
1 or 9	$-4C$	$-4C$	$-4C$
5 or 13	$-4C$	$-4C$	$-4C$

Table 3: The elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_C$ is covered by three D_8-surfaces (X_i, ρ_i). The discriminant D_i of the order for real multiplication on $\mathrm{Jac}(X_i)$ commuting with J is computed using Proposition 4.4.

of orbifold order two $(1+i)/2 \cdot \Gamma_0(2)$. For each $E \in \mathcal{M}_1$ without automorphisms other than the elliptic involution, there are exactly three D_8-surfaces (X, ρ) with $X/\rho(r)$ isomorphic to E.

Now fix an imaginary quadratic discriminant $C < 0$. Setting $E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_C$, $Z = 0 + \mathcal{O}_C$, $T_1 = 1/2 + \mathcal{O}_C$, $T_2 = (C + \sqrt{C})/4 + \mathcal{O}_C$ and $T_3 = T_1 + T_2$, the three D_8-surfaces covering E are the three surfaces $(X_i, \rho_i) = g^{-1}(E, Z, T_i)$. Using Proposition 4.4, it is straightforward to calculate the order for real multiplication on $\mathrm{Jac}(X_i)$ commuting with $\rho_i(J)$ and we do so in Table 3.

For an arbitrary E with $\mathrm{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, we have that $E[4] \cong \mathcal{O}_C/4\mathcal{O}_C$ as $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules by Proposition 4.5 and the orders for real multiplication commuting with $\rho(J)$ on the D_8-surfaces covering E are the same as the orders when $E = \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_C$. The formula for $e_2(W_D)$ follows easily from this and the fact that there are precisely $h(\mathcal{O}_C)$ genus one surfaces with $\mathrm{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. The small discriminants where the D_8-surfaces labeled by orbifold points on W_D cover genus one surfaces with automorphisms and handled by the restriction $D > 8$ and by replacing $h(\mathcal{O}_C)$ with the reduced class number $\widetilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_C)$. Note that the factor of two in the formula for $e_2(W_D)$ comes from the fact that the D_8-surfaces (X, ρ) and $\sigma \cdot (X, \rho)$ have isomorphic J-eigenforms and therefore label the same orbifold point on W_D. □

Enumerating orbifold points on W_D. We conclude this section by giving a simple method for enumerating the $\tau \in U$ for which $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) \in W_D$.

Fix a discriminant $D > 0$ and a $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$. We can choose integers e, k, b and c so $k^2 D = -e^2 + 2bc$ and $\tau = (e + k\sqrt{-D})/(2c)$. The vectors $v_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $v_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $v_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau \\ \tau+1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $v_4 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau \\ -\tau-1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ generate the lattice Λ_τ. The lattice Λ_τ is preserved by multiplication by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ giving an automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_\tau)$, and the vector space $\Lambda_\tau \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is preserved by the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \sqrt{-D} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-D} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ giving a rational endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}(A_\tau \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. Together, ϕ and T generate a Hermitian-adjoint homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}, i) \to \mathrm{End}(A_\tau) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

We want to give conditions on e, k, b and c so that the quadratic ring of discriminant D in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}, i)$ acts integrally on Λ_τ. This ring is generated by $S = (D + \phi T)/2$ and it is

straightforward to check that, in the basis $v_1, \ldots, v_4$ for $\Lambda_\tau \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, S acts by multiplication by the matrix:

$$S = \frac{1}{2k}\begin{pmatrix} Dk+c & -e-c & 0 & 2c \\ c+e & Dk-c & -2c & 0 \\ 0 & -e-b & Dk+c & c+e \\ b+e & 0 & -e-c & Dk-c \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we see that S is integral, and $\mathrm{Jac}(X_\tau) = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda_\tau$ has real multiplication by an order containing $\mathcal{O}_D$ and commuting with ϕ, if and only if k divides c, b and e and $D \equiv e/k \equiv b/k \equiv c/k \bmod 2$.

Pinwheel prototypes. Motivated by this, define the set of *pinwheel prototypes of discriminant* D, which we denote by $E_0(D)$, to be the collection of triples $(e, c, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ satisfying:

$$\begin{gathered} D = -e^2 + 2bc \text{ with } D \equiv e \equiv c \equiv b \bmod 2 \text{ and } |e| \leq c \leq b \\ \text{and if } |e| = c \text{ or } b = c \text{ then } e \leq 0. \end{gathered} \tag{4.1}$$

Note that if $(e, c, b) \in E_0(D)$, then $(fe, fc, fb) \in E_0(f^2 D)$. We define $E(D)$ to be the set of *proper pinwheel prototypes* in $E_0(D)$, i.e. those which do not arise from smaller discriminants in this way. Finally define $\tau(e, c, b) = (e + \sqrt{-D})/2c$.

We can now prove the following proposition, which is a more precise version of Theorem 1.9:

Proposition 4.6. *Fix a discriminant D and $(e, c, b) \in E(D)$. The one form up to scale $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ where $\tau = (e+\sqrt{D})/(2c)$ is an orbifold point on W_D and, for $D > 8$, the set $E(D)$ is in bijection with the orbifold points on W_D:*

$$e_2(W_D) = \#E(D).$$

Proof. By our discussion above, the first two conditions on pinwheel prototypes ($D = -e^2 + 2bc$ and $D \equiv e \equiv c \equiv b \bmod 2$) are equivalent to the requirement that $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ where $\tau = \tau(e, c, b)$ is an eigenform for real multiplication by an order containing $\mathcal{O}_D$. The condition that (e, c, b) is proper ensures that the order for real multiplication with eigenform ω_τ is $\mathcal{O}_D$. The condition that $|e| \leq c \leq b$ is equivalent to the condition that $\tau(e, c, b)$ is in the domain U. The condition that $e \leq 0$ when $|e| = c$ or $b = c$ ensures that $\mathrm{Re}(\tau(e, c, b)) \leq 0$ whenever $\tau(e, c, b)$ is in the boundary of U. □

Bounds on $e_2(W_D)$. It is easy to see that the conditions defining pinwheel prototypes ensure $c \leq \sqrt{D}$, from which it is easy to enumerate the prototypes in $E(D)$ and prove:

Proposition 4.7. *For discriminants $D > 8$, the number of points of orbifold order two satisfies:*

$$e_2(W_D) \leq D/2.$$

Proof. The integers e and c determine b since $b = (D + e^2)/(2c)$. From $|e| \leq c \leq \sqrt{D}$ and the fact that e and c are congruent to $D \bmod 2$, we have that e ranges over at most $\sqrt{D}$ possibilities and c ranges over at most $\sqrt{D}/2$ possibilities so $e_2(W_D) < D/2$. □

Examples. The sets $E(D)$ for some small discriminants D are:

$$E(5) = \{(-1,1,3)\}, E(8) = \{(0,2,2)\}, E(9) = \{(-1,1,5)\}, E(12) = \{(-2,2,4)\},$$
$$E(13) = \{(-1,1,7)\}, E(16) = \{(0,2,4)\}, \text{ and } E(17) = \{(-1,1,9),(-1,3,3)\}.$$

5 Orbifold points by spin

By the results in [Mc2], the Weierstrass curve W_D is usually irreducible. For discriminants $D > 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, W_D has exactly two irreducible components, and they are distinguished by a spin invariant. Throughout this section, we assume D is a such a discriminant. For such D, the number of points of orbifold order two is given by

$$e_2(W_D) = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{h}(-4D)$$

and by our Proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4, an orbifold point of order two $(X, [\omega]) \in W_D$ is the $\rho(J)$-eigenform for a faithful D_8-action ρ on X and the quotient $E/\rho(r)$ corresponds to an ideal class $[I] \in H(\mathcal{O}_{-4D})$. In this section, we define a spin homomorphism $\epsilon_0 \colon H(-4D) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and relate the spin of $(X, [\omega])$ to $\epsilon_0([I])$ allowing us to establish the formula in Theorem 1.2.

One forms with double zero and spin structures. We start by recalling spin structures on Riemann surfaces following [Mc2] (cf. also [At]). A *spin* structure on a symplectic vector space V of dimension $2g$ over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a quadratic form:

$$q \colon V \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

satisfying $q(x + y) = q(x) + q(y) + \langle x, y\rangle$ where $\langle , \rangle$ is the symplectic form. The *parity* of q is given by the *Arf invariant*:

$$\mathrm{Arf}(q) = \sum_i q(a_i)q(b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

where $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g$ is a symplectic basis for V.

A one form with double zero ω on $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$ determines a spin structure on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. For any loop $\gamma \colon S^1 \to X$ whose image avoids the zero of ω, gives a Gauss map:

$$G_\gamma \colon S^1 \to S^1 \text{ where } G_\gamma(x) = \omega(\gamma'(x))/\left|\gamma'(x)\right|.$$

The degree of G_γ is invariant under homotopy that avoids the zero of ω and changes by a multiple of two under general homotopy. Denoting by $[\gamma]$ the class in $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2/\mathbb{Z})$ represented by γ, the function

$$q([\gamma]) = 1 + \deg(G_\gamma) \bmod 2$$

defines a spin structure on X.

Pinwheel spin. Now consider the surface X_τ obtained from the pinwheel P_τ and the one form $\omega_\tau \in \Omega(X_\tau)$ obtained from dz on P_τ as usual. Let $b = \tau + \frac{1-i}{2}$ and let $x_t \in H_1(X_\tau, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be the class represented by the integral homology class with period $t \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \oplus b\mathbb{Z}[i]$ when integrated against ω_τ. The classes x_1, x_i, x_b and x_{bi} form a basis for $H_1(X_\tau, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and we have:

Proposition 5.1. *The spin structure q on X_τ associated to ω_τ has:*

$$q\left(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_i + k_3 x_b + k_4 x_{bi}\right) = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_1 k_3 + k_2 k_4 + k_3 k_4 \bmod 2. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Finding loops $\gamma_t \colon S^1 \to X_\tau$ representing x_t and avoiding the zero of ω_τ, we can compute the degree of the Gauss map directly and show that:

$$q(x_1) = q(x_i) = 1 \text{ and } q(x_b) = q(x_{bi}) = 0.$$

From the relation $q(x + y) = q(x) + q(y) + \langle x, y \rangle$, any basis x_n of $H_1(X_\tau, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ has:

$$q\left(\sum_n k_n x_n\right) = \sum_n k_n^2 q(x_n) + \sum_{l<n} k_l k_n \left\langle x_l, x_n \right\rangle .$$

Computing the intersection pairing on the basis $\{x_1, x_i, x_b, x_{bi}\}$ gives the stated formula for q. □

Spin components of W_D. For $(X, [\omega]) \in W_D$, the vector space $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is also an $\mathcal{O}_D$-module via $\iota_+^{[\omega]} \colon \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Jac}(X))$. Let f be the *conductor* of $\mathcal{O}_D$, i.e. the integer satisfying $D = f^2 D_0$ where D_0 is the maximal order in K_D. Since $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, the image W of $(f + \sqrt{D})/2$ acting on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is two dimensional, and we define the spin of $(X, [\omega])$ to be the parity of q restricted to W:

$$\epsilon(X, [\omega]) = \mathrm{Arf}(q|_W).$$

We also define:

$$W_D^i = \{(X, [\omega]) \in W_D : \epsilon(X, [\omega]) = i\}, \text{ for } i = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

As was shown in [Mc2], for discriminants $D > 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, the components W_D^0 and W_D^1 are both non-empty and irreducible.

Ideal classes. Now let $I \subset K_{-4D}$ be a fractional and proper $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$-ideal, i.e. satisfies $\mathrm{End}(I) = \mathcal{O}_{-4D}$, and let $E_I = \mathbb{C}/I$. There are three D_8-surfaces (X, ρ) with $X/\rho(r) = E_I$. From Table 3, we see that exactly one of these, which we will call (X_I, ρ_I), is labeled by an orbifold point on W_D, with the others being labeled by orbifold points on W_{16D}. This D_8-surface satisfies $g(X_I, \rho_I) = (E_I, Z, T)$ where $Z = 0 + I$ and T generates a subgroup of $E[2]$ invariant under $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$.

Spin homomorphism. Now let n be the odd integer satisfying $\mathrm{Nm}(I) = 2^k n$ and define:

$$\epsilon_0(I) = \frac{n-1}{2} \bmod 2. \tag{5.2}$$

We will give a formula for the spin invariant of the orbifold point on W_D corresponding to (X_I, ρ_I) in terms of $\epsilon_0(I)$. To start, we show:

Proposition 5.2. *The number $\epsilon_0(I)$ depends only on the ideal class of I and defines a spin homomorphism:*

$$\epsilon_0 \colon H(-4D) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The spin homomorphism ϵ_0 is the zero map if and only if D is a square.

Proof. Any $x \in \mathcal{O}_{-4D}$ has norm $\mathrm{Nm}(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 D = 2^k l$ with $l \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. If the ideals I and J are in the same ideal class, they satisfy $xI = yJ$ for some x and y in $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$ and $\epsilon_0(I) = \epsilon_0(J)$. The map ϵ_0 is a homomorphism since the norm of ideals is a homomorphism.

Now suppose $D = f^2$ is a square. Any $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$-ideal class has a representative of the form $I = x\mathbb{Z} \oplus (fi - y)\mathbb{Z}$ with x and y in $\mathbb{Z}$. Since I is an ideal, x divides $f^2 + y^2$ and since I is proper $\gcd(x, y, (f^2 + y^2)/x) = 1$. If an odd prime p divides $\mathrm{Nm}(I)$, then p divides x^2, $f^2 + y^2$, and y. Since $f^2 \equiv -y^2 \bmod p$ and p does not divide both f and y, -1 is a square mod p, $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $\epsilon_0(I) = 0$.

If D is not a square, $D = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_n^{k_n}$ with p_l distinct odd primes and k_1 odd. By Dirichlet's theorem, there is a prime p with:

- $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$,
- $p \equiv 1 \bmod p_l$ for $l > 1$, and
- $\left(\frac{p}{p_1}\right) = -1$.

Quadratic reciprocity gives $\left(\frac{-D}{p}\right) = 1$ and guarantees a solution x to $x^2 \equiv -D \bmod p$. The ideal $I = p\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\sqrt{-D} - x)\mathbb{Z}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$-ideal, has norm p and $\epsilon_0(I) = 1$. □

Remark. When $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, the ideal (2) ramifies in $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$ and there is a prime ideal P with $P^2 = (2)$. Since $\mathrm{Nm}(P) = 2$, we have $\epsilon_0(P) = 0$. The ideal classes represented by $I = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and $J = \mathbb{Z} \oplus -1/2\tau\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $[I] = [PJ]$. This is related to the fact the polygonal pinwheels P_τ and $P_{-1/2\tau}$ give the same point on W_D and so must have the same spin invariant.

Proposition 5.3. *Fix a $\tau \in U$ with $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) \in W_D$ and let $I = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$. The spin of $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ is given by the formula:*

$$\epsilon(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) = \frac{f+1}{2} + \epsilon_0([I]) \bmod 2.$$

Proof. We saw in Section 2 that X_τ has a faithful D_8-action ρ_τ with $g(X_\tau, \rho_\tau) = (E_I = \mathbb{C}/I, Z = 0 + I, T = 1/2 + I)$. By our proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4, we have that $\mathrm{End}(E_I) = \mathcal{O}_{-4D}$ and T generates a subgroup of $E_I[2]$ invariant under $\mathcal{O}_{-4D}$, i.e. $1+\sqrt{-D} \in 2I$.

Since I is an ideal, $\sqrt{-D} = x\tau + y$ for some x and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, x divides $D + y^2$ and I has the same class as $I_0 = x\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\sqrt{-D} - y)\mathbb{Z}$. Since I is proper, $\gcd(x, y, (D + y^2)/x) = 1$ and the norm of I_0 is x up to a factor of two. The condition $1 + \sqrt{-D} \in 2I$ implies that $x \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ and $\epsilon_0(I) \equiv \frac{x-2}{4} \bmod 2$.

To compute the spin invariant $\epsilon(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$, we need to determine the subspace $W = \mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{f+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right)$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and evaluate $\mathrm{Arf}(q|_W)$. The subspace W is spanned by v and $J_\tau v$ where:

$$v = x_{(f-i\sqrt{-D})/2} \equiv \frac{2f+x}{4}x_1 + \frac{x-2y}{4}x_i + x_{bi} \bmod 2.$$

Here, as above, $x_t \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is the homology class represented by an integral homology class with ω_τ-period t. By Proposition 5.1 and the fact that q is J_τ-invariant, we have:

$$\epsilon(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) = q(v)^2 = \frac{f+1}{2} + \epsilon_0([I]) \bmod 2.$$

□

Our formula for the number of orbifold points on the spin components of W_D follows readily from the previous two propositions:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. When $D = f^2$, the spin homomorphism ϵ_0 is the zero map and all of the orbifold points on W_D lie on the spin $(f+1)/2 \bmod 2$ component of W_D. When D is not a square, ϵ_0 is onto, and exactly half of the orbifold points on W_D lie on each spin component. □

Remark. For square discriminants $D = f^2$, there is an elementary argument that shows $e_2\left(W_D^{(f-1)/2}\right) = 0$. For $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) \in W_D$, the number τ is in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and rescaling P_τ, we can exhibit X_τ as the quotient of a polygon λP_τ with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and area f. The surface X_τ is "square-tiled" and admits a degree f map $\phi\colon X_\tau \to \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]$ branched over a single point. The spin of $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ can be determined from the number of Weierstrass points X_τ^W mapping to the branch locus of ϕ ([Mc2], Theorem 6.1). This number is, in turn, determined by f, as can be seen by elementary Euclidean geometry.

Corollary 5.4. *Fix $D \geq 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and conductor f, a pinwheel prototype $(e, c, b) \in E(W_D)$ and set $\tau = \frac{e+\sqrt{-D}}{2c}$. The surface $(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau])$ has spin given by:*

$$\epsilon(X_\tau, [\omega_\tau]) = \frac{c+f}{2} \bmod 2.$$

Proof. The surface X_τ corresponds to the ideal class of $I = 2c\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-e+\sqrt{-D})\mathbb{Z}$ in $H(-4D)$ and the norm of I is $2c$. □

6 Genus of W_D

Together with [Ba, Mc2], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 complete the determination of the homeomorphism type of W_D, giving a formula for the genus of the irreducible components of W_D. In this section, we will prove the following upper bound on the genus of the components of W_D:

Proposition 6.1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there are positive constants C_ϵ and N_ϵ such that:*

$$C_\epsilon D^{3/2+\epsilon} > g(V)$$

whenever V is a component of W_D and $D > N_\epsilon$.

We will also give effective lower bounds:

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $D > 0$ is a discriminant and V is a component of W_D. If D is not a square, the genus of V satisfies:*

$$g(V) \geq D^{3/2}/600 - D/16 - D^{3/4}/2 - 75.$$

If D is a square, the genus of V satisfies:

$$g(V) \geq D^{3/2}/240 - 7D/10 - D^{3/4}/2 - 75.$$

These two propositions immediately imply Corollary 1.3. Also, the following proposition shows that the components of $\bigcup_D W_D$ with genus $g \leq 4$ are all listed in Appendix B, giving Corollary 1.4 as an immediate consequence:

Corollary 6.3. *The components of $\bigcup_D W_D$ with genus $g \leq 4$ all lie on $\bigcup_{D \leq 121} W_D$.*

Proof. The bounds in Proposition 6.2 show that $g(V) > 4$ whenever $D > 7000$ for non-square D and $D > 200^2$ for square D. The remaining discriminants were checked by computer. □

Orbifold Euler characteristic and genus. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice and let $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ be the finite volume quotient. The homeomorphism type of X is determined by the number of cusps $C(X)$ of X, the number $e_n(X)$ of points of orbifold order n for each $n > 1$, and the genus $g(X)$ of X. The *orbifold Euler characteristic of X* is the following linear combination of these numbers:

$$\chi(X) = 2 - 2g(X) - C(X) - \sum_n (1 - 1/n) e_n(X).$$

Euler characteristic of X_D and W_D. The Hilbert modular surface X_D has a meromorphic modular form with a simple zero along W_D and simple pole along P_D. This gives a simple relationship between the orbifold Euler characteristics of W_D, P_D and X_D and a modular curve S_D in the boundary of X_D. The curve S_D is empty unless $D = f^2$ is a square, in which case $S_D \cong X_1(f)$.

Theorem 6.4 ([Ba] Cor. 10.4)**.** *The Euler characteristic of W_D satisfies:*

$$\chi(W_D) = \chi(P_D) - 2\chi(X_D) - \chi(S_D).$$

For a discriminant D, define:

$$F(D) = \prod_{p|f} \left(1 - \left(\frac{D_0}{p}\right) p^{-2}\right).$$

where f is the conductor of $\mathcal{O}_D$, $D_0 = D/f^2$ is the discriminant of the maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and the product is over primes dividing f. The number $F(D)$ satisfies $1 \geq F(D) > \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(2)^{-1} > 6/10$.

For square discriminants, $\chi(S_D) = -f^2F(D)/12$ and the Euler characteristic of W_D and its components are given by ([Ba] Theorem 1.4):

$$\chi(W_{f^2}) = -f^2(f-1)F(D)/16, \chi(W^0_{f^2}) = -f^2(f-1)F(D)/32,$$
$$\text{and } \chi(W^1_{f^2}) = -f^2(f-3)F(D)/32.$$

For non-square discriminants, $\chi(S_D) = 0$ and $\chi(P_D) = -\frac{5}{2}\chi(X_D)$ giving $\chi(W_D) = -\frac{9}{2}\chi(X_D)$. The Euler characteristic $\chi(X_D)$ can be computed from ([Ba] Theorem 2.12):

$$\chi(X_D) = 2f^3\zeta_{D_0}(-1)F(D).$$

Here ζ_{D_0} is the Dedekind-zeta function and can be computed from Siegel's formula ([Bru], Cor. 1.39):

$$\zeta_{D_0}(-1) = \frac{1}{60} \sum_{e^2 < D_0,\, e \equiv D_0 \bmod 2} \sigma\left(\frac{D_0 - e^2}{4}\right),$$

where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of n. For reducible W_D, the spin components satisfy $\chi(W^0_D) = \chi(W^1_D) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(W_D)$ ([Ba] Theorem 1.3).

The well-known bound $\sigma(n) = o(n^{1+\epsilon})$ gives constants C_ϵ and N_ϵ so:

$$C_\epsilon D^{3/2+\epsilon} > \chi(X_D)$$

whenever $D > N_\epsilon$. Using $\sigma(n) > n + 1$ and $F(D) > 6/10$ gives:

$$\chi(X_D) > D^{3/2}/300.$$

We can now prove the upper bounds for the genus of W_D:

Proof of Proposition 6.1. For square discriminants $D = f^2$, we have $|\chi(W_D)| \leq f^3$. For non-square discriminants, the bounds for $\chi(X_D)$ and the formula $\chi(W_D) = -9\chi(X_D)/2$ gives $|\chi(W_D)| = O(D^{3/2+\epsilon})$. Since W_D has one or two components, $g(W_D) = O(|\chi(W_D)|)$. □

The modular curve P_D. The modular curve P_D is isomorphic to:

$$\left(\bigsqcup_{(e,l,m)} Y_0(m) \right) / g,$$

where the union is over triples of integers (e, l, m) with:

$$D = e^2 + 4l^2 m, \quad l, m > 0, \quad \text{and } \gcd(e, l) = 1 \ ,$$

and g is the automorphism sending the degree m isogeny i on the component labeled by (e, l, m) to the isogeny i^* on the $(-e, l, m)$-component (cf. [Mc2] Theorem 2.1). The isogeny $i\colon E \to F$ on the (e, l, m)-component corresponds to the Abelian variety $B = E \times F$ with $\mathcal{O}_D$ generated by $\iota\left(\frac{e+\sqrt{D}}{2}\right) = i + i^* + [e]_E$ where $[e]_E$ is the multiplication by e-map on E.

In particular, the components of P_D are labeled by triples (e, l, m) as above subject to the additional condition $e \geq 0$. We will need the following bound on the number of such triples:

Proposition 6.5. *The number of components of P_D satisfies $h_0(P_D) \leq D^{3/4} + 150$.*

Proof. Let $l(n)$ denote the largest integer whose square divides n and let $f(n) = d(l(n))$ be the number of divisors of $l(n)$. The function f is multiplicative and the number of triples (e, l, m) with e fixed is bounded above by $f\left(\frac{D-e^2}{4}\right)$. There is a finite set S of natural numbers n for which $f(n) > n^{1/4}$ (they are all divisors of $2^{12}3^6 5^4 7^2 11^2$) since $d(n)$ is $o(n^\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and it is easy to check that $\sum_{n \in S} f(n) - n^{1/4} < 150$. The asserted bound on $h^0(P_D)$ follows from:

$$h_0(P_D) \leq \sum_{\substack{e \equiv D \bmod 2 \\ 0 \leq e < \sqrt{D}}} f\left(\frac{D-e^2}{4}\right) \leq 150 + \sum_e \left(\frac{D-e^2}{4}\right)^{1/4}.$$

□

Cusps on W_D and P_D. Let $C_1(W_D)$ and $C_2(W_D)$ be the number of one- and two-cylinder cusps on W_D respectively and $C(W_D) = C_1(W_D) + C_2(W_D)$ be the total number of cusps. The cusps on W_D were first enumerated and sorted by component in [Mc2]:

Proposition 6.6. *For non-square discriminants, the number of cusps on W_D is equal to the number of cusps on P_D:*

$$C(W_D) = C_2(W_D) = C(P_D),$$

and $C(W_D^0) = C(W_D^1)$ when W_D is reducible. For square discriminants $D = f^2$, the number of one- and two-cylinder cusps satisfy:

$$C_2(W_{f^2}) < C(P_{f^2}) \text{ and } C_1(W_{f^2}) < f^2/3.$$

When f is odd, $\left|C(W_{f^2}^1) - C(W_{f^2}^0)\right| < 7f^2/12$.

Proof. Except for the explicit bounds on $C_1(W_{f^2})$ and $\left|C(W^1_{f^2}) - C(W^0_{f^2})\right|$, the claims in the proposition follow from the enumeration of cusps on P_D and W_D in [Ba], §3.1.

We now turn to the bounds on $C_1(W_{f^2})$ and $\left|C(W^1_{f^2}) - C(W^0_{f^2})\right|$. When D is not a square, there are no one-cylinder cusps and when $D = f^2$ is a square, the one-cylinder cusps are parametrized by cyclically ordered triples (a, b, c) with (cf. [Mc2] Theorem A.1):

$$f = a + b + c,\ a, b, c > 0 \text{ and } \gcd(a, b, c) = 1.$$

Cyclically reordering (a, b, c) so $a < b$ and $a < c$ ensures that $a < f/3$ and $b < f$, giving $C_1(W_D) < f^2/3 = D/3$. The difference in the number of two cylinder cusps is given by (Theorem A.4 in [Mc2]):

$$C_2(W^0_D) - C_2(W^1_D) = \sum_{b+c=f, 0<c<b} \phi(\gcd(b, c)),$$

which is smaller than $D/4$ using $c < f/2$ and $\phi(\gcd(b, c)) < f/2$. The bound asserted for $|C(W^0_D) - C(W^1_D)|$ follows. □

We are now ready to prove the lower bounds in Proposition 6.2:

Proof of Proposition 6.2. We will handle the square and non-square discriminants separately.

Lower bounds, non-square discriminants. Suppose D is a non-square discriminant and $D > 8$ so all of the orbifold points on W_D have order two. Using the formula $\chi(W_D) = \chi(P_D) - 2\chi(X_D)$, the equality $C(P_D) = C(W_D)$ and ignoring several terms which contribute positively to the $g(W_D)$ gives:

$$g(W_D) \geq \chi(X_D) - h^0(P_D) - e_2(W_D)/4.$$

Combining the bound above with $\chi(X_D) > D^{3/2}/300$, $h_0(P_D) < D^{3/4} + 150$, $e_2(W_D) < D/2$ (Proposition 4.7) and $g(V) \geq \frac{1}{2} g(W_D)$ whenever V is a component of W_D gives the bound stated in Proposition 6.2.

Lower bounds, square discriminants. Now suppose $D = f^2$. Using the formula for $\chi(W_D)$ in terms of $\chi(X_D)$, $\chi(P_D)$ and $\chi(S_D)$, the bound $C_2(W_D) < C(P_D)$ and ignoring some terms which contribute positively to $g(W_D)$ gives:

$$g(W_D) \geq \chi(X_D) - h_0(P_D) - e_2(W_D)/4 + \chi(S_D)/2 - C_1(W_D)/2.$$

As before we have $h_0(P_D) < D^{3/4} + 150$, $e_2(W_D) < D/2$ and $C_1(W_D) < D/3$. By Theorem 2.12 and Proposition 10.5 of [Ba] and using $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(2) > 6/10$, we have $\chi(X_D) + \chi(S_D)/2 > D^{3/2}/120 - D/40$ so long as $D > 36$, giving:

$$g(W_D) \geq D^{3/2}/120 - 2D/5 - D^{3/4} - 150.$$

Finally, to bound $g(V)$ when V is a component of W_D, we bound the difference:

$$|g(W_D^0) - g(W_D^1)| \ \leq \left|\tfrac{\chi(W_D^1)-\chi(W_D^0)}{2}\right| + \left|\tfrac{C(W_D^1)-c(W_D^0)}{2}\right| + e_2(W_D)/4 \ .$$

We have seen that $|C(W_D^1) - C(W_D^0)| < 7D/12$ and $e_2(W_D)/4 < D/8$. Theorem 1.4 of [Ba] gives $|\chi(W_D^0) - \chi(W_D^1)| < D/16$ and $|g(W_D^1) - g(W_D^0)| < D/2$. The bound asserted for $g(V)$ in Proposition 6.2 follows. □

A The D_{12}-family

In this section we will describe the surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$. For a smooth surface $X \in \mathcal{M}_2$, the following are equivalent:

- *Automorphisms.* The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ admits an injective homomorphism $\rho : D_{12} \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$.
- *Algebraic curves.* The field of functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is isomorphic to the field:

  $$\widetilde{K}_a = \mathbb{C}(z, x) \text{ with } z^2 = x^6 - ax^3 + 1,$$

  for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 2\}$.
- *Jacobians.* The Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac}(X)$ is isomorphic to the principally polarized Abelian variety:

  $$\widetilde{A}_\tau = \mathbb{C}^2/\widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau,$$

  where $\widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau = \mathbb{Z}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ \sqrt{3}\tau \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ -\sqrt{3}\tau \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ and is polarized by the symplectic form $\langle \binom{a}{b}, \binom{c}{d} \rangle = \frac{-\operatorname{Im}(a\bar{c}+b\bar{d})}{2\operatorname{Im}\tau}$.
- *Hexagonal pinwheels.* The surface X is isomorphic to the surface $\widetilde{X}_\tau$ obtained by gluing the hexagonal pinwheel H_τ (Fig. 4) to $-H_\tau$ for some τ in the domain:

  $$\widetilde{U} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{H} : \tau \neq \zeta_{12}/\sqrt{3} \text{ or } \zeta_{12}^5/\sqrt{3}, |\operatorname{Re}\tau| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |\tau|^2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to identify the action of D_{12} on the surfaces described above. The field $\widetilde{K}_a$ has automorphisms $Z(z, x) = (-z, \zeta_3 x)$ and $r(z, x) = (z/x^3, 1/x)$. The polarized lattice $\widetilde{\Lambda}_\tau$ is preserved by the linear transformations $r = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $Z = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The surface obtained from $\widetilde{X}_\tau$ has an order six automorphism Z_τ with $[Z_\tau|_{\widetilde{X}_\tau^W}] = [3, 3]$ which implies that $\widetilde{X}_\tau$ has a faithful D_{12}-action (cf. Table 2 in §2).

The family $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ admits an analysis similar to that of $\mathcal{M}_2(D_8)$. For $(X, \rho) \in \mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$, the quotient $E = X/\rho(r)$ has genus one and a distinguished subgroup of order three in $E[3]$. One can establish the precise relationship between $\widetilde{X}_\tau$, $\widetilde{A}_\tau$ and $\widetilde{K}_a$ by studying the corresponding map from $\mathcal{M}_2(D_{12})$ to the modular curve $Y_0(3)$.



Figure 4: For τ in the shaded domain $\widetilde{U}$, the hexagonal pinwheel H_τ has vertices $\left\{z_0, \zeta_3^{\pm 1} z_0, \tau, \zeta_3^{\pm 1}\tau\right\}$ with $z_0 = \zeta_{12}/\sqrt{3}$. Gluing together sides on H_τ and $-H_\tau$ by translation gives a genus two surface admitting an action of D_{12}. The one form induced by dz is a Z-eigenform.

B Homeomorphism type of W_D

D	$g(W_D)$	$e_2(W_D)$	$C(W_D)$	$\chi(W_D)$
5	0	1	1	$-\frac{3}{10}$
8	0	0	2	$-\frac{3}{4}$
9	0	1	2	$-\frac{1}{2}$
12	0	1	3	$-\frac{3}{2}$
13	0	1	3	$-\frac{3}{2}$
16	0	1	3	$-\frac{3}{2}$
17	$\{0,0\}$	$\{1,1\}$	$\{3,3\}$	$\{-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}\}$
20	0	0	5	-3
21	0	2	4	-3
24	0	1	6	$-\frac{9}{2}$
25	$\{0,0\}$	$\{0,1\}$	$\{5,3\}$	$\{-3,-\frac{3}{2}\}$
28	0	2	7	-6
29	0	3	5	$-\frac{9}{2}$
32	0	2	7	-6
33	$\{0,0\}$	$\{1,1\}$	$\{6,6\}$	$\{-\frac{9}{2},-\frac{9}{2}\}$
36	0	0	8	-6
37	0	1	9	$-\frac{15}{2}$
40	0	1	12	$-\frac{21}{2}$
41	$\{0,0\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{7,7\}$	$\{-6,-6\}$
44	1	3	9	$-\frac{21}{2}$
45	1	2	8	-9
48	1	2	11	-12
49	$\{0,0\}$	$\{2,0\}$	$\{10,8\}$	$\{-9,-6\}$

D	$g(W_D)$	$e_2(W_D)$	$C(W_D)$	$\chi(W_D)$
52	1	0	15	-15
53	2	3	7	$-\frac{21}{2}$
56	3	2	10	-15
57	$\{1,1\}$	$\{1,1\}$	$\{10,10\}$	$\{-\frac{21}{2},-\frac{21}{2}\}$
60	3	4	12	-18
61	2	3	13	$-\frac{33}{2}$
64	1	2	17	-18
65	$\{1,1\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{11,11\}$	$\{-12,-12\}$
68	3	0	14	-18
69	4	4	10	-18
72	4	1	16	$-\frac{45}{2}$
73	$\{1,1\}$	$\{1,1\}$	$\{16,16\}$	$\{-\frac{33}{2},-\frac{33}{2}\}$
76	4	3	21	$-\frac{57}{2}$
77	5	4	8	-18
80	4	4	16	-24
81	$\{2,0\}$	$\{0,3\}$	$\{16,14\}$	$\{-18,-\frac{27}{2}\}$
84	7	0	18	-30
85	6	2	16	-27
88	7	1	22	$-\frac{69}{2}$
89	$\{3,3\}$	$\{3,3\}$	$\{14,14\}$	$\{-\frac{39}{2},-\frac{39}{2}\}$
92	8	6	13	-30
93	8	2	12	-27
96	8	4	20	-36

Table 4: The Weierstrass curve W_D is a finite volume hyperbolic orbifold and for $D > 8$ its homeomorphism type is determined by the genus $g(W_D)$, the number of orbifold points of order two $e_2(W_D)$, the number of cusps $C(W_D)$ and the Euler characteristic $\chi(W_D)$. The values of these topological invariants are listed for each curve W_D with $D \leq 225$ as well as several larger discriminants. When $D > 9$ with $D \equiv 1 \bmod 8$, the curve W_D is reducible and the invariants are listed for both spin components with the invariants for W_D^0 appearing first.

D	$g(W_D)$	$e_2(W_D)$	$C(W_D)$	$\chi(W_D)$
97	$\{4,4\}$	$\{1,1\}$	$\{19,19\}$	$\{-\frac{51}{2},-\frac{51}{2}\}$
100	4	0	30	-36
101	6	7	15	$-\frac{57}{2}$
104	9	3	20	$-\frac{75}{2}$
105	$\{6,6\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{16,16\}$	$\{-27,-27\}$
108	10	3	21	$-\frac{81}{2}$
109	8	3	25	$-\frac{81}{2}$
112	10	2	29	-48
113	$\{6,6\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{16,16\}$	$\{-27,-27\}$
116	11	0	25	-45
117	10	4	16	-36
120	16	2	20	-51
121	$\{6,3\}$	$\{3,0\}$	$\{26,26\}$	$\{-\frac{75}{2},-30\}$
124	15	6	29	-60
125	11	5	15	$-\frac{75}{2}$
128	13	4	22	-48
129	$\{8,8\}$	$\{3,3\}$	$\{22,22\}$	$\{-\frac{75}{2},-\frac{75}{2}\}$
132	15	0	26	-54
133	15	2	22	-51
136	17	2	36	-69
137	$\{9,9\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{19,19\}$	$\{-36,-36\}$
140	19	6	18	-57
141	18	4	18	-54
144	11	4	38	-60
145	$\{10,10\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{29,29\}$	$\{-48,-48\}$
148	20	0	37	-75
149	16	7	19	$-\frac{105}{2}$
152	22	3	18	$-\frac{123}{2}$
153	$\{10,10\}$	$\{2,2\}$	$\{26,26\}$	$\{-45,-45\}$
156	25	8	26	-78
157	20	3	25	$-\frac{129}{2}$
160	22	4	40	-84
161	$\{14,14\}$	$\{4,4\}$	$\{20,20\}$	$\{-48,-48\}$
164	20	0	34	-72

D	$g(W_D)$	$e_2(W_D)$	$C(W_D)$	$\chi(W_D)$
165	24	4	18	-66
168	29	2	24	-81
169	$\{14, 7\}$	$\{0, 3\}$	$\{37, 39\}$	$\{-63, -\frac{105}{2}\}$
172	29	3	37	$-\frac{189}{2}$
173	22	7	13	$-\frac{117}{2}$
176	27	6	29	-84
177	$\{17, 17\}$	$\{1, 1\}$	$\{26, 26\}$	$\{-\frac{117}{2}, -\frac{117}{2}\}$
180	28	0	36	-90
181	26	5	33	$-\frac{171}{2}$
184	37	2	38	-111
185	$\{17, 17\}$	$\{4, 4\}$	$\{23, 23\}$	$\{-57, -57\}$
188	31	10	19	-84
189	27	6	26	-81
192	31	4	34	-96
193	$\{19, 19\}$	$\{1, 1\}$	$\{37, 37\}$	$\{-\frac{147}{2}, -\frac{147}{2}\}$
196	25	0	60	-108
197	26	5	21	$-\frac{147}{2}$
200	31	3	36	$-\frac{195}{2}$
201	$\{20, 20\}$	$\{3, 3\}$	$\{34, 34\}$	$\{-\frac{147}{2}, -\frac{147}{2}\}$
204	38	6	40	-117
213	36	4	18	-90
216	38	3	46	-2432
217	$\{25, 25\}$	$\{2, 2\}$	$\{38, 38\}$	$\{-87, -87\}$
220	46	8	44	-138
221	32	8	30	-96
224	42	8	34	-120
225	$\{21, 16\}$	$\{4, 0\}$	$\{42, 42\}$	$\{-84, -72\}$
41376	164821	112	1552	-331248
41377	$\{113276, 113276\}$	$\{28, 28\}$	$\{1442, 1442\}$	$\{-228006, -228006\}$
41380	178100	0	3154	-359352
41381	119380	89	665	$-\frac{478935}{2}$
41384	145957	68	884	-292830
41385	$\{107869, 107869\}$	$\{24, 24\}$	$\{1284, 1284\}$	$\{-217032, -217032\}$
41388	155386	54	1188	-311985

C Algebraic curves labeled by orbifold points on W_D

D	$f_D(t)$
5	$t^2 - 68t + 124$
8	$t + 6$
9	$t^2 - 772t - 1532$
12	$(t - 14)(t + 1)$
13	$t^2 - 5188t - 10364$
16	$(2t^2 + 73t + 170)$
17	$t^4 - 26376t^3 - 209384t^2 - 943136t - 1259504$
21	$t^4 - 111752t^3 + 555288t^2 + 1774048t + 433168$
24	$t^2 + 140t + 292$
25	$t^2 - 414724t - 829436$
28	$(t - 254)(16t + 31)(16t^2 + 17t + 226)$
29	$t^6 - 1390796t^5 - 35420996t^4$ $-640534176t^3 - 3448572688t^2 - 7486135488t - 5780019136$
32	$(t^2 + 452t - 124)(4t^2 - 12t - 41)$
33	$t^4 - 4301576t^3 + 93537816t^2 + 356944864t + 305326096$
37	$t^2 - 12446788t - 24893564$
40	$t^2 + 1292t + 2596$
41	$t^8 - 34052624t^7 - 1944255376t^6 - 98991188416t^5$ $-478185515936t^4 - 1414176696064t^3 - 4859849685248$ $t^2 - 10349440893952t - 7969572716288$
44	$(t^3 - 2090t^2 - 7604t - 10936)(t^3 + 3t^2 + 131t + 257)$
45	$t^4 - 88796296t^3 + 237562136t^2 + 595063264t - 470492144$
48	$(t^2 + 3332t + 10756)(16t^2 + 272t + 481)$
49	$t^4 - 222082568t^3 - 2565706728t^2 - 11151157280t - 13816147952$
53	$t^6 - 535413964t^5 - 72289563460t^4 - 9219442091680t^3$ $-54695502924560t^2 - 110556205489344t - 74946436241344$
56	$t^4 + 7960t^3 - 3368t^2 + 18272t + 113936$

Table 5: When a is a root of $f_D(t)$, the algebraic curve X satisfying $\mathbb{C}(X) \cong \mathbb{C}(x, z)$ where $z^2 = (x^2 - 1)(x^4 - ax^2 + 1)$ is labeled by a point on W_D.

References

[At] M. F. Atiyah. Riemann surfaces and spin structures. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **4**(1971), 47–62.

[Ba] M. Bainbridge. Euler characteristics of Teichmüller curves in genus two. *Geom. Topol.* **11**(2007), 1887–2073.

[BaM] M. Bainbridge and M. Möller. Deligne-Mumford compactification of the real multiplication locus and Teichmüller curves in genus three. Preprint, arXiv:0911.4677.

[BL] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange. *Complex Abelian Varieties*, volume 302 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.

[Bo] O. Bolza. On binary sextics with linear transformations into themselves. *Amer. J. Math.* **10**(1887), 47–70.

[BM1] I. Bouw and M. Möller. Differential equations associated with nonarithmetic Fuchsian groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **81**(2010), 65–90.

[BM2] I. Bouw and M. Möller. Teichmüller curves, triangle groups, and Lyapunov exponents. *Ann. of Math.* **172**(2010), 139–185.

[Bru] J. H. Bruinier. Hilbert modular forms and their applications. In *The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms*, Universitext, pages 105–179. Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[Ca] K. Calta. Veech surfaces and complete periodicity in genus two. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17**(2004), 871–908.

[KMS] S. Kerckhoff, H. Masur and J. Smillie. Ergodicity of billiards flows and quadratic differentials. *Ann. of Math.* **124**(1986), 293–311.

[KY] B. Klingler and A. Yafaev. The André-Oort conjecture. Preprint.

[KZ] M. Kontsevich and A. Zorich. Connected components of the moduli spaces of abelian differentials with prescribed singularities. *Invent. Math.* **153**(2003), 631–678.

[La] S. Lang. *Elliptic Functions*, volume 112 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1987.

[Lo] P. Lochak. On arithmetic curves in the moduli space of curves. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **4**(2005), 443–508.

[Ma] J. Manin. Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **36**(1972), 19–66.

[MT] H. Masur and S. Tabachnikov. Rational billiards and flat structures. In *Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1A*, pages 1015–1089. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[Mc1] C. McMullen. Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(2003), 857–885.

[Mc2] C. McMullen. Teichmüller curves in genus two: Discriminant and spin. *Math. Ann.* **333**(2005), 87–130.

[Mc3] C. McMullen. Teichmüller curves in genus two: Torsion divisors and ratios of sines. *Invent. Math.* **165**(2006), 651–672.

[Mc4] C. McMullen. Dynamics of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ over moduli space in genus two. *Ann. of Math.* **165**(2007), 397–456.

[Mo] M. Möller. Shimura and Teichmüller curves. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **5**(2011), 1–32.

[Pr] A. Prestel. Die elliptischen Fixpunkte der Hilbertschen modulgruppen. *Math. Ann.* **177**(1968), 181–209.

[Sh1] G. Shimura. On analytic families of polarized abelian varieties and automorphic functions. *Ann. of Math.* **78**(1963), 149–192.

[Sh2] G. Shimura. *Abelian Varieties with Complex Multiplication and Modular Functions*, volume 46 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1998.

[Si1] R. Silhol. Genus 2 translation surfaces with an order 4 automorphism. In *The geometry of Riemann surfaces and abelian varieties*, volume 397 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 207–213. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[Si2] J. H. Silverman. *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 151 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 1994.

[Ti] J. Tits. Le Monstre. *Astérisque* (1985), 105–122. Seminar Bourbaki, Vol. 1983/84.

[vdG] G. van der Geer. *Hilbert Modular Surfaces*, volume 16 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[Ve] W. A. Veech. Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards. *Invent. Math.* **97**(1989), 553–583.

[Zo] A. Zorich. Flat surfaces. In *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry. Volume 1: On random matrices, zeta functions and dynamical systems*, pages 439–586. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.